The Annual Quality Assurance Report (AQAR) of the IQAT 2013-14

All NAAC accredited institutions will submit an annual self-reviewed progress report to NAAC, through its IQAC. The report is to detail the tangible results achieved in key areas, specifically identified by the institutional IQAC at the beginning of the academic year. The AQAR will detail the results of the perspective plan worked out by the IQAC. (*Note: The AQAR period would be the Academic Year. For example, July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013*)

| Part – A | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| I. Details of the Institution | n | | | | |
| 1.1 Name of the Institution | TERI UNIVERSITY | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1.2 Address Line 1 | 10, INSTITUTIONAL AREA | | | | |
| Address Line 2 | VASANT KUNJ | | | | |
| City/Town | NEW DELHI | | | | |
| State | DELHI | | | | |
| Pin Code | 110070 | | | | |
| Institution e-mail address | Registrar@teriuniversity.ac.in | | | | |
| Contact Nos. | 011-71800222 | | | | |
| Name of the Head of the Institution | DR. LEENA SRIVASTAVA | | | | |
| Tel. No. with STD Code: | 011-26122222 | | | | |
| Mobile: | 9811009260 | | | | |

Page 1

| ii. AQAR | (DD/MM/YYYY) |
|-----------|--------------|
| iii. AQAR | (DD/MM/YYYY) |
| iv. AQAR | (DD/MM/YYYY) |
| | |
| | |

1.8 AQAR for the year (for example 2010-11)

2013-14

of your institution's Accreditation Certificate) www.teriuniversity.ac.in 1.5 Website address:

(For Example EC/32/A&A/143 dated 3-5-2004.

1.3 NAAC Track ID (For ex. MHCOGN 18879)

1.4 NAAC Executive Committee No. & Date:

Web-link of the AQAR:

http://www.teriuniversity.ac.in/AQAR/2013-14.docx

For ex. http://www.ladykeanecollege.edu.in/AQAR2012-13.doc

1.6 Accreditation Details

| | Sl. No. | Cycle | Grade | CGPA | Year of | Validity | |
|---|----------|-----------------------|-------|------|---------------|----------|--|
| | SI. INO. | Cycle | Grade | COFA | Accreditation | Period | |
| | 1 | 1 st Cycle | А | 3.26 | 2013 | 5 YEARS | |
| | 2 | 2 nd Cycle | | | | | |
| ľ | 3 | 3 rd Cycle | | | | | |
| ĺ | 4 | 4 th Cycle | | | | | |

1.9 Details of the previous year's AQAR submitted to NAAC after the latest Assessment and Accreditation by NAAC ((for example AQAR 2010-11submitted to NAAC on 12-10-2011)

1.7 Date of Establishment of IQAT :DD/MM/YYYY

i. AQAR ______

Name of the IQAT Co-ordinator:

EC/63/A&A/66 dated 23-03-2013

9213373000 akansal@teri.res.in IQAT e-mail address:

DR. ARUN KANSAL

Mobile:

This EC no. is available in the right corner-bottom

May 2013

(DD/MM/YYY)4

| 1.10 Institutional Status | |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

| University | State Central Deemed 🖌 Private |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Affiliated College | Yes No 🖌 |
| Constituent College | Yes No 🖌 |
| Autonomous college of UGC | Yes No 🖌 |
| Regulatory Agency approved Insti | tution Yes 🖌 No |
| (eg. AICTE, BCI, MCI, PCI, NCI) | |
| Type of Institution Co-education | on 🖌 Men 🦳 Women |
| Urban | ✓ Rural Tribal |
| Financial Status Grant-in-a | aid UGC 2(f) UGC 12B |
| Grant-in-aic | H + Self Financing Totally Self-financing |
| 1.11 Type of Faculty/Programme | |
| Arts 🖌 Science | ✓ Commerce Law PEI (Phys Edu) |
| TEI (Edu) Engineering | Health Science Management \checkmark |
| Others (Specify) | |
| 1.12 Name of the Affiliating Universi | ty (for the Colleges) NOT APPLICABLE |
| 1.13 Special status conferred by Cent | ral/ State Government UGC/CSIR/DST/DBT/ICMR etc. : NA |

| University with Potential for Excellence | U | GC-CPE | |
|------------------------------------------|---|--------|--|

Autonomy by State/Central Govt. / University

| DST Star Scheme | UGC-CE | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| UGC-Special Assistance Programme | DST-FIST | | | | | | |
| UGC-Innovative PG programmes | Any other (<i>Specify</i>) | | | | | | |
| UGC-COP Programmes | | | | | | | |
| 2. IQAT Composition and Activitie | <u>es</u> | | | | | | |
| 2.1 No. of Teachers | 4 | | | | | | |
| 2.2 No. of Administrative/Technical staff | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 No. of students | NO | | | | | | |
| 2.4 No. of Management representatives | 1 | | | | | | |
| 2.5 No. of Alumni | ΝΟ | | | | | | |
| 2. 6 No. of any other stakeholder and | NO | | | | | | |
| community representatives | | | | | | | |
| 2.7 No. of Employers/ Industrialists | NO | | | | | | |
| 2.8 No. of other External Experts | NO | | | | | | |
| 2.9 Total No. of members | 5 | | | | | | |
| 2.10 No. of IQAT meetings held : 3 | | | | | | | |
| 2.11 No. of meetings with various stakeholders: No. 3 Faculty | | | | | | | |
| Non-Teaching Staff 🖌 Students 🖌 Alumni Others | | | | | | | |
| 2.12 Has IQAT received any funding from UGC during the year? Yes No | | | | | | | |
| If yes, mention the amount | | | | | | | |

2.13 Seminars and Conferences (only quality related)

(i) No. of Seminars/Conferences/ Workshops/Symposia organized by the IQAT : NIL

| Total Nos. | International | National | State | Inst | itution Level | |
|-------------|---------------|----------|-------|------|---------------|--|
| (ii) Themes | | | | | | |

2.14 Significant Activities and contributions made by IQAT

The IQAT stressed on the need for increasing an international component in all the programmes of the TERI University. As such partnerships were initiated with various institutions and agencies for attempting to increase the international students applications as well as to increase the faculty and student exchanges with various universities.

2.15 Plan of Action by IQAT/Outcome

The plan of action chalked out by the IQAT in the beginning of the year towards quality enhancement and the outcome achieved by the end of the year *

| Plan of Action | Achievements |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Website redesign | Website redesigned for easy access |
| Focus on international collaborations | MoUs signed with international universities to facilitate mutually beneficial exchanges of students, faculty, knowledge resources and ideas. The number of international student applications recorded a significant increase. |
| | |

* Attach the Academic Calendar of the year as Annexure 1.

| 2.15 Whether the AQAR was placed in statutory body | Y | es | \checkmark | No | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----|------|--------------|----|--|
| Management Syndicate | Any | othe | er body | / | |

Provide the details of the action taken

| Executive Committee reviewed the AQAR |
|---------------------------------------|
| |
| |

Criterion – I

I. Curricular Aspects

1.1 Details about Academic Programmes

| Level of the Programme | Number of existing Programmes | Number of programmes added during the year | Number of self-financing programmes | Number of value added / Career Oriented programmes |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| PhD | 5 | | 5 | 5 |
| PG | 11 | | 11 | 11 |
| UG | - | | - | - |
| PG Diploma | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| (Through distance) | | | | |
| Advanced Diploma | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| (Through distance) | | | | |
| Diploma | - | | - | - |
| M.Tech (UDM) | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Certificate | 4 | | 4 | 4 |
| Others | | | | |
| Total | 22 | 1 | 23 | 23 |
| Interdisciplinary | | | | |
| Innovative | | | | |

1.2 (i) Flexibility of the Curriculum: CBCS/Core/Elective option / Open options (ii) Pattern of programmes:

| Pattern | Number of programmes |
|-----------|----------------------|
| Semester | \checkmark |
| Trimester | |
| Annual | |

 1.3 Feedback from stakeholders*
 Alumni
 Parents
 Employers
 ✓
 Students

 (On all aspects)
 Mode of feedback :
 Online
 Manual
 ✓
 Co-operating schools (for PEI)

*Please provide an analysis of the feedback in the Annexure 2

_

1.4 Whether there is any revision/update of regulation or syllabi, if yes, mention their salient aspects.

Yes. The syllabi is reviewed at periodic intervals and put up to Academic Council for approval.

1.5 Any new Department/Centre introduced during the year. If yes, give details.

Criterion – II

2. Teaching, Learning and Evaluation

| 2.1 Total No. of | Total | Asst. Professors | Associate Professors | Professors | Others |
|-------------------|-------|------------------|----------------------|------------|--------|
| permanent faculty | 38 | 20 | 10 | 8 | |

34

2.2 No. of permanent faculty with Ph.D.

2.3 No. of Faculty Positions Recruited (R) and Vacant (V) during the year

| Asst. | | Assoc | ciate | Profe | essors | Othe | rs | Total | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|----|-------|---|
| Profe | ssors | Profe | ssors | | | | | | |
| R | V | R | V | R | V | R | V | R | V |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | 2 | |

29

15

2.4 No. of Guest and Visiting faculty and Temporary faculty

2.5 Faculty participation in conferences and symposia:

| No. of Faculty | International level | National level | State level |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Attended | 20 | 13 | |
| Presented papers | 14 | 10 | 1 |
| Resource Persons | | | |

2.6 Innovative processes adopted by the institution in Teaching and Learning:

Along with the conventional classroom lectures, the institution makes use of diverse innovative pedagogical approaches such as teaching from research articles, case studies, student seminars, guest talks and lectures by renowned fellows from academia, industry, research institution and practitioners, field visits, study trips, assignments, tutorials and undertaking exposure to industry through minor and major project internships. Under the MHRD sponsored National Mission on Education through Information and Communication Technology (NMEICT), an e-learning resource has also been implemented by TERI University for PG courses in the environment sciences domain. Under the same programme, a multimedia lab facility was established in which provisions for recording and delivering classroom lectures have been initiated. The University follows imparts a multi-disciplinary form of teaching and encourages all faculty members to adopt innovative and evolving teaching methods.

2.7 Total No. of actual teaching days during this academic year

Approx.230

- 2.8 Examination/ Evaluation Reforms initiated by the Institution (for example: Open Book Examination, Bar Coding, Double Valuation, Photocopy, Online Multiple Choice Questions)
- 2.9 No. of faculty members involved in curriculum restructuring/revision/syllabus development as member of Board of Study/Faculty/Curriculum Development workshop
- 2.10 Average percentage of attendance of students

2.11 Course/Programme wise distribution of pass percentage :

Total no. of Title of the Division* students Programme appeared Distinction % I % II % III % Pass % M.Sc 100 MBA 100 M.Tech 100 100 M.A. PhD AP PGD

* Results are based on CGPA and no distinction/division are awarded.

2.12 How does IQAT Contribute/Monitor/Evaluate the Teaching & Learning processes :

The IQAT provides inputs to Heads and Deans meeting.

2.13 Initiatives undertaken towards faculty development

PGD Certificate

| Faculty / Staff Development Programmes | Number of faculty benefitted |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Refresher courses | |
| UGC – Faculty Improvement Programme | 34 |
| HRD programmes | |
| Orientation programmes | |
| Faculty exchange programme | |
| Staff training conducted by the university | |
| Staff training conducted by other institutions | |
| Summer / Winter schools, Workshops, etc. | |
| Others | |

Open Book Examination

83%

2.14 Details of Administrative and Technical staff

| Category | Number of Permanent Employees | Number of Vacant Positions | Number of permanent positions filled during the Year | Number of positions filled temporarily |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Administrative Staff | | | | 25 |
| Technical Staff | | | | 9 |

Criterion – III

3. Research, Consultancy and Extension

3.1 Initiatives of the IQAT in Sensitizing/Promoting Research Climate in the institution

IQAT has set comprehensive rules and guidelines for evaluation and conduct of PhD and post-doc positions. The IQAT has additionally also discussed with respective Departmental Research Committees of each departments for promotion of proposal development and execution of funded research projects by faculty members.

3.2 Details regarding major projects

| | Completed | Ongoing | Sanctioned | Submitted |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Number | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Outlay in Rs. Lakhs | 541 | 153 | 486 | 486 |

3.3 Details regarding minor projects

| | Completed | Ongoing | Sanctioned | Submitted |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Number | - | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Outlay in Rs. Lakhs | - | 13.19 | 9.11 | 9.11 |

3.4 **Details on research publications**

| | International | National | Others |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| Peer Review Journals | 99 | 21 | |
| Non-Peer Review Journals | | 3 | 8 |
| e-Journals | 99 | 23 | |
| Conference proceedings | 6 | 7 | |

3.5 Details on Impact factor of publications:

| Range | | Average | | h-index | | Nos. in SCOPUS | 69 | |
|-------|--|---------|--|---------|--|----------------|----|--|
|-------|--|---------|--|---------|--|----------------|----|--|

3.6 Research funds sanctioned and received from various funding agencies, industry and other organisations

| Nature of the Project | Duration Year | Name of the funding Agency | Total grant sanctioned | Received |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Major projects | | | Rs.16274793/- | Rs.16274793/- |
| Minor Projects | | | Rs.911082/- | Rs.911082/- |
| Interdisciplinary Projects | | | | |
| Industry sponsored | | | | |
| Projects sponsored by the University/ College | | | | |
| Students research projects (other than compulsory by the University) | | | | |
| Any other(Specify) | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

| 3.7 No. of books publishe | \mathbf{d} i) With ISBN No. | 1 Chapters | in Edited Books | 13 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|----|
| | ii) Without ISBN | | | |
| 3.8 No. of University Depa | artments receiving funds | from : N.A. | | |
| | UGC-SAP | CAS | DST-FIST | |
| | DPE | | DBT Scheme/funds | |
| 3.9 For colleges | Autonomy | CPE | DBT Star Scheme | |
| | INSPIRE | CE | Any Other (specify) | |
| 3.10 Revenue generated th | rough consultancy | Rs.19.50 Lacs | | |

3.11 No. of conferences organized by the Institution

| Level | Internation | al | | National | | State | University | College |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Number | 3 | | | 8 | | | 6 | |
| Sponsoring | UNDP, U | NEP, World I | Bank, | MoEF, MN | RE, | | | |
| agencies | South Asia | , OSF etc. | | Brainwiz, DS | ST, | | | |
| | | | | DBT, Global | l | | | |
| | | | | Developmen | t | | | |
| | | | | Network, IG | NFA | | | |
| 3.12 No. of fa | culty served | as experts, ch | nairper | sons or resourc | ce perso | ons | 10 | |
| 3.13 No. of co | ollaborations | 1 | Interna | tional 5 | Natior | nal 1 | Any | other |
| 3.14 No. of linkages created during this year 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 3.15 Total bud | dget for resea | arch for curren | nt year | in lakhs : | | | | |
| From Fund | ling agency | 500 Lacs | Fro | m Managemer | nt of Un | iversity | /College | - |
| Total | | 500 Lacs | | | | | | |
| 3.16 No. of p | atents receiv | ed this year | Tv | pe of Patent | | | Number | |
| National Applied Granted | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Intern | national | Appli Grant | ied | Applied 2 | 1 |
| | | | | Commercialised Ap | | ied ted | | |

3.17 No. of research awards/ recognitions received by faculty and research fellows Of the institute in the year

| Total | International | National | State | University | Dist | College |
|-------|---------------|----------|-------|------------|------|---------|
| 6 | 1 | 5 | | | | |

| 3.18 | No.of faculty from the Institution |
|------|------------------------------------|
| | who are Ph. D. Guides |
| | and students registered under them |

| 42 | 16 | |
|----|----|--|
| 42 | 42 | |

10

3.19 No. of Ph.D. awarded by faculty from the Institution

3.20 No. of Research scholars receiving the Fellowships (Newly enrolled + existing ones)

| JRF 02 | SRF | Project Fellows | | Any other | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----|------------------|------|
| 3.21 No. of students Particip | ated in NSS events: | N.A. | | | |
| | | University level | | State level | |
| | | National level | | International le | evel |
| 3.22 No. of students particip | oated in NCC events: | : N.A. | | | |
| | | University level | | State level | |
| | | National level | | International 1 | evel |
| 3.23 No. of Awards won in 2 | NSS: N.A. | | | | |
| | | University level | | State level | |
| | | National level | | International le | evel |
| 3.24 No. of Awards won in | NCC: N.A. | | | | |
| | | University level | | State level | |
| | | National level | | International le | evel |
| 3.25 No. of Extension activit | ies organized : | N.A . | | | |
| University forum | College | forum | | | |
| NCC | NSS | | An | y other | |

3.26 Major Activities during the year in the sphere of extension activities and Institutional Social Responsibility : **Annexure 3**

Criterion – IV 4. Infrastructure and Learning Resources

4.1 Details of increase in infrastructure facilities: N.A.

| Facilities | Existing | Newly created | Source of Fund | Total |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Campus area | 2 acres | | | 2 acres |
| Class rooms | 10 | | University fund | 10 |
| Laboratories | 8 | | | 8 |
| Seminar Halls | 1 | | | 1 |
| No. of important equipments purchased (\geq 1-0 lakh) during the current year. | As per Balance sheet enclosed (Annexure 4) | - | | - |
| Value of the equipment purchased during the year (Rs. in Lakhs) | As per Balance sheet enclosed (Annexure 4) | 26.80 | Own resources, DST & DBT | 26.80 |
| Others | 2 acres | | | 2 acres |

4.2 Computerization of administration and library :

Academic, Administration & Library processes are computerized.

4.3 Library services:

| | Existing | | Newly | v added | Total | | |
|------------------|----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--|
| | No. | Value | No. | Value | No. | Value | |
| Text Books | 3538 | 4906 | 461 | 562 | 3999 | 5468 | |
| Reference Books | 342 | 347 | 14 | 14 | 356 | 361 | |
| e-Books | | | | | | | |
| Journals | 6 | | | | 6 | | |
| e-Journals | 31 | | | | 31 | | |
| Digital Database | 7 | | | | 7 | | |
| CD & Video | 172 | 259 | 13 | 17 | 185 | 276 | |
| Others (specify) | | | | | | | |

4.4 Technology up gradation (overall)

| | Total Computers | Computer Labs | Internet | Browsing Centres | Computer Centres | Office | Depart- ments | Others |
|----------|--------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| Existing | 157 | 02 | 20+2 | | 1 | | 05 | |
| Added | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | |

4.5 Computer, Internet access, training to teachers and students and any other programme for technology upgradation (Networking, e-Governance etc.)

Training provided by the IT Department

4.6 Amount spent on maintenance in lakhs :

| i) ICT | 21.86 |
|------------------------------------------|--------|
| ii) Campus Infrastructure and facilities | 139.85 |
| iii) Equipments | 97.39 |
| iv) Others | 39.05 |
| | |
| Total : | 298.15 |

Criterion – V 5. Student Support and Progression

5.1 Contribution of IQAT in enhancing awareness about Student Support Services

The University has an effective framework for addressing the different needs of international and national pool of students in terms of academics and culture. The faculty members as part of the Master Programme Executive Committee (MPEC) on a regular basis evaluate the end semester results and accordingly provides necessary assistance to identified weak students. This is then indicated to Programme coordinators and faculty members are also indicated the areas which need to be worked on and where special guidance is required.

To address the diverse cultural needs of the students the university conducts variety of cultural events and celebrate their national/state festivals. Several student clubs based on variety of skills has been set up to nurture the various skills of students in fields other than academics such as Music/ Ethnic/Sports etc. One of the main factors that help in proper counselling of TERI University students both for academic and personal guidance is the small size of classes.

5.2 Efforts made by the institution for tracking the progression

To assess the academic performance of students, each programme has set up their own Masters Programme Executive Committee (MPEC) which meets twice each semester. The University follows a continuous and a comprehensive evaluation system in which student's academic performance is regularly assessed and evaluated by various means which include quizzes, minor test, major test, presentations, viva voce, assignments, tutorials, seminars, project reports, development of case studies among others. The MPEC is chaired by the Programme Coordinator and advises through the course coordinator the students not performing satisfactorily in the various evaluation mechanisms mentioned above adopted by the different course coordinators within the programme. At the end of each semester a final MPEC meeting is held in which the final grades based on different criteria are decided after due deliberation and discussion.

Likewise the Department Research Committee (DRC) evaluates progress in research by the faculty members as well as by PhD students. The DRC meets at least once every Semester to review the progress. Brief presentations are made by doctoral students and faculty members to exchange ideas on broad thematic areas for existing and future research proposal. All faculty members that are part of the DRC actively participate in the day long deliberations.

UG PG Ph. D. Others 5.3 (a) Total Number of students 190 40 (b) No. of students outside the state 74 (c) No. of international students 14 No % No % Women Men 82 102

| | Last Year | | | | | This Year | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|----|-----|--------------------------|-------|-----------|----|----|-----|--------------------------|-------|
| General | SC | ST | OBC | Physically Challenged | Total | General | SC | ST | OBC | Physically Challenged | Total |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Demand ratio 1250/202

Dropout %

5.4 Details of student support mechanism for coaching for competitive examinations (If any)

| NA | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|------|---|----------|--|
| No. of st | udents ben | eficiaries | | | | | |
| 5.5 No. of stud | ents qualifi | ed in these exa | minations | | | | |
| NET | 5 | SET/SLET | | GATE | 8 | CAT 1 | |
| IAS/IPS et | c | State PSC | | UPSC | | Others 4 | |

5.6 Details of student counselling and career guidance

The MPEC members of respective PG programme, review the performance of students at the end of every semester and it is indicated to the relevant faculty members the need for counselling and extra attention required by students. The university strives to impart variety of skill sets and creates capacities for students to pursue career in corporates, NGOs, think-tanks and Government agencies. A collaborative inter-disciplinary effort between industry and academia is envisioned wherein manpower is trained in accordance with the changing needs of industry. Mock interviews and placement drives for many organizations such as KPMG, Emergent Ventures, Pradan, JPal were conducted.

No. of students benefitted

5.7 Details of campus placement

| | On campus | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Number of Organizations Visited | Number of Students Participated | Number of Students Placed | Number of Students Placed | | | | |
| 117 | 133 | 61 | 58 | | | | |

5.8 Details of gender sensitization programmes

One lecture conducted

5.9 Students Activities

5.9.1 No. of students participated in Sports, Games and other events : N.A.

All

| State/ University level | Nation | al level | Internati | ional level | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|--|
| No. of students participated in cultural events : | | | | | |
| State/ Univer | rsity level | National le | vel | International level | |

| 5.9.2 | 2 No. of medals /awards won by students in Sports, Games and other events : | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--|
| Sports | : State/ University level | | National level | International level | |
| Cultura | l: State/ University level | | National level | International level | |

5.10 Scholarships and Financial Support :

| | Number of students | Amount |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Financial support from institution | 1 | Rs.234000/- |
| Financial support from government | 27 | Rs.4156206/- |
| Financial support from other sources (CSLA) | 12 | Rs.4581217/- |
| SCCF | 4 | Rs.2219956/- |
| HSBC | 4 | Rs.2175112/- |
| Number of students who received International/ National recognitions | | |

5.11 Student organised / initiatives :

| Fairs | : State/ University level | 5 | National level | | International level |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|----------------|--|---------------------|
| Exhibition | n: State/ University level | | National level | | International level |
| 5.12 No. of social initiatives undertaken by the students 2 | | | | | |
| 5.13 Majo | or grievances of students (i | f any) red | ressed: | | Nil |

Criterion – VI

6. Governance, Leadership and Management

6.1 State the Vision and Mission of the institution

The TERI University aspires to contribute globally by serving society as a seat of advanced learning and to promote learning through teaching and through creating and sharing knowledge. The University commits itself to academic excellence and an environment which would encourage personal and intellectual growth.

The TERI University provides world-class facilities and resources to its students and faculty so as to usher in innovative and multidisciplinary research.

6.2 Does the Institution has a management Information System :



6.3 Quality improvement strategies adopted by the institution for each of the following:

6.3.1 Curriculum Development

TERI University follows a very comprehensive curriculum development and approval process. A four-stage process is adopted as follows:

- New course development as well as updation of existing courses by the Master Programme Evaluation Committee
- Review of each course by external experts / academicians
- Review and recommendation of each course by the Board of Studies
- Review and approval/rejection by the Academic Council.

Through the four-stage process each course and or full programme is comprehensively examined for its relevance, details, pedagogy, reading material and its position in the respective masters programme.

Besides the approval and rejection of courses, the four stage process creates opportunities for getting inputs from respective panel members including MPEC faculty members, external reviewer, BoS members and Academic Council members, to make each course robust in approach and content.

6.3.2 Teaching and Learning

To enhance the quality of teaching and learning, at the faculty level emphasis is laid on the pedagogical approaches used to achieve, learning objectives and outcome specified for a particular course and also linking these outcomes to the overall programme outcomes. The focus in TERI University is on student centered of teaching and learning strategy. This include curriculum development, assessments, pedagogy, reading material etc. used as necessary inputs for teaching and learning. For this TERI University conducts regular bi-annual Retreats in formal and informal settings and very rigorous brainstorming sessions are organized.

In addition faculty members are encouraged to participate in national and international academic events to keep themselves updated on the discourse and practice relating to their respective teaching area.

Faculty members are also encouraged to take up research assignments that have synergy with their subjects of teaching and learning.

6.3.3 Examination and Evaluation

A system of continuous evaluation is followed at the TERI University that is based on tests, assignments, quizzes, term papers, presentations etc. Innovative methods of evaluation are employed by the faculty members that help in assessing student knowledge of the subject matter as well as inculcating skills such as critical thinking, oral communication, etc. rather than mere rote learning in the students. Students are informed of the criteria being followed by the faculty members for assessment of examination and assignments. In principle each course aims to assess how much of the defined learning outcomes, the student has achieved as mentioned in the course. Specifically through examination and evaluation faculty members try to assess the level of knowledge gained and practical skills acquired by students.

6.3.4 Research and Development

Research and development hold a very significant position in all activities at the TERI University. The University has paid special attention to facilitate research, innovation and impact. Research projects are an integral feature of academic programmes at TERI University and student-led research opportunities exist at all stages of study. This happens through a very active engagement of faculty members into contemporary areas of research. The research conducted both by faculty, masters students and PhD students contributes significantly in shaping the curriculum and delivery of courses. In particular TERI university has special emphasis on the Major research projects, Minor research projects and Thesis that are undertaken by students, supervised on a one-to-one basis by faculty members over full semesters. Output from such assignments are presented by the students at various forums.

6.3.5 Library, ICT and physical infrastructure / instrumentation

The design of the campus has been planned in a way that improves learning, while simultaneously sets an example for a model green building. The campus is aesthetically designed and possesses several features such as passive solar design, energy-efficiency and water and waste management systems. The campus design demonstrates an example of a modern and sustainable habitat for an institutional building that is studied by several cohort of students and faculty members who come

as visitors every year. In particular several students of architecture from different colleges in India come every year to study the campus unique design and features. TERI University contains well-equipped laboratories to facilitate cutting edge research in the field of energy, environment, biotechnology, and GIS, along with a well-designed conference hall and class rooms having latest audio-visual display systems. The university provides latest IT technology and has both wired and wireless communication systems and data infrastructure. To effectively manage IT associated services, the university is supported by qualified and active IT helpdesk team. TERI University also has its own Media Lab that aims at development of e-content for university education at the postgraduate level and addresses the key objective of the MHRD by providing accessible, high quality course material at the postgraduate level in all subjects at affordable costs.

6.3.6 Human Resource Management

The robust yet flexible HR policy of the University helps in recruitment of best talents required to implement the academic programmes. The University has highly qualified and experienced faculty to disseminate knowledge on the research oriented programmes. Academic courses which do not warrant the employment of full time faculty are compensated through distinguished visiting/guest faculty. The faculty is also encouraged to upgrade their knowledge and skills by attending various conferences/seminars and related national and international event. In addition the university also collaborates with the industry sector and public sector institutions to invite their experienced professional and experts to come to the University to share their experience particularly in form of specific case studies relevant to the thematic area under study.

6.3.7 Faculty and Staff recruitment

The faculty and staff recruitment process consists of a preliminary scrutiny round where all applications received for faculty are sent to the HoDs/Deans. Based on their recommendations, the faculty applicant is asked to make a presentation which is evaluated by a Selection Committee. For administrative positions, TERI is requested to position staff on deputation.

6.3.8 Industry Interaction / Collaboration

TERI University has been proactive in increasing partnerships with industry. This is implemented through the formal and informal collaborations including bringing industry representatives to interact with students through case studies discussions in classrooms, contribution of industry members in curriculum updation, internships of students into industrial sector and industry – faculty collaboration for research projects.

Industry has also come forward to support University students through scholarships, project engagements and final placements.

Most of the PG programmes lay special focus on practice based learning and industry plays an important role in achieving this goal.

6.3.9 Admission of Students

The admission process of the University open once every year, commencing in the month of February with announcements in leading newspapers and the TERI University website. For the first round, an all India common entrance exam is held for all programmes in May end of each year. The test comprises of questions on proficiency in English language, analytical reasoning and quantitative ability followed by a personal interview. However, admission to MBA programme is based on CAT/MAT/GMAT/CMAT/XAT followed by group discussion and personal interview.

6.4 Welfare schemes for

| Teaching | Medical insurance, gratuity, Provident fund, loans, sabbatical leaves, salary advance, study leaves, house lease, flexi timings |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Non teaching | Medical insurance, gratuity, Provident fund, loans, salary advance and house lease |
| Students | Bank loans, scholarships, internal grants (travel) |

Yes

6.5 Total corpus fund generated

1354 Lacs

6.6 Whether annual financial audit has been done

6.7 Whether Academic and Administrative Audit (AAA) has been done?

| Audit Type | External | | Internal | | |
|----------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|--|
| | Yes/No | Agency | Yes/No | Authority | |
| Academic | Yes | BoS/AC | Yes | BoS/AC | |
| Administrative | Yes | Sanjay Rastogi & Associates, New Delhi | Yes | Rajan K Gupta & Co., New Delhi | |

Yes

Yes

6.8 Does the University/ Autonomous College declares results within 30 days? :



No

For PG Programmes



6.9 What efforts are made by the University/ Autonomous College for Examination Reforms?

All exams under CCTV supervision

6.10 What efforts are made by the University to promote autonomy in the affiliated/constituent colleges?

N.A.

6.11 Activities and support from the Alumni Association :

The University has a formally registered Alumni Association which meets regularly and elects its own office bearers. The alumni of the University are relatively young. The purpose of the Alumni Meet is to foster interactions amongst the students and to facilitate understanding of the job prospects a graduate from TERI University would have. Alumni provide suggestions and support in terms of curriculum revision, outreach and placement. The Alumni members also exchange their knowledge and experience of practice with existing students of the University.

6.12 Activities and support from the Parent – Teacher Association

Since the University offers only masters and doctoral level programmes, interaction with the parent is intentionally low. Parents have been involved only on occasional instances, either in extremely poor performance or in disciplinary issues. However parents are always invited to attend the fresher's orientation and convocation ceremonies which are held at the beginning and end of each academic session.

6.13 Development programmes for support staff

Teri University conducts regular skill development and training programmes for the support staff. These include programmes on computer skills, team management, communication skills, etc.

6.14 Initiatives taken by the institution to make the campus eco-friendly

Eco Club initiative

Criterion – VII

7. Innovations and Best Practices

7.1 Innovations introduced during this academic year which have created a positive impact on the functioning of the institution. Give details.

Realising the growing concerns in the domain of urban development and acute shortage of appropriately trained professional TERI University started the unique multidisciplinary M.Tech Urban Development Management (UDM) Programme to create a cadre of highly skilled urban magaers. The University also received the DLF Foundation Scholarship for M.Tech (UDM) programme. In the Year 2013 TERI University organised the BLISS - First Summer School that was held between 15 - 19 July 2013. In the Year 2013, University received the 'Earthian 2012' award by WIPRO for a paper titled 'Possible interventions and challenges related to control of water table depletion in Vasant Kunj and Masoodpur, New Delhi'.

7.2 Provide the Action Taken Report (ATR) based on the plan of action decided upon at the beginning of the year

Based on the projected plans for the University as discussed in the Board of Management, the University carried out a detailed review of curriculum of the Masters level programme. A thorough review of all the institutional policies was carried out so as to remain relevant.

7.3 Give two Best Practices of the institution (please see the format in the NAAC Self-study Manuals)

7.3.1 **Title of the practice:** Research-informed teaching

TERI University encourages faculty members to be actively engaged into research in order to be updated on latest developments of the concerned asre and to share these developments with students through the courses. Faculty members write proposals for research projects and execute innovative research projects and include the outcomes of research into their teaching. PG students are also engaged into the faculty -led research projects and get opportunity to gain the exposure of undertaking advance research. The faculty members are also encouraged to publish peer reviewed journal articles based on the research undertaken and share with other researchers and students. In the process of undertaking research projects faculty members also collate a comprehensive literature which is useful for the students as a reading material.

7.3.2 Title of the practice: Continual review of curriculum.

TERI University places highest significance on course curriculum being developed and taught in the PG programmes. To ensure comprehensive and up-to-date teaching the University undertakes a rigorous continuous review of curriculum. This review is done by the internal core faculty members, external reviewers, Board of studies members and finally approved by the Academic Council. The content of course, the reading material and the orientation with latest discourse on the theme is examined in-depth to incorporate any necessary changes before it is taught in the class. 7.4 Contribution to environmental awareness / protection

Faculty members are actively involved in writing articles in leading newpapers and magazines on contemporary topics linked to environment and resources management. Many faculty members also give TV interviews and Radio interviews to spread awareness on environmental issues such as air pollution, urban development, water management etc. TERI University also organises events for school children, youth, and professional sensitising them on environmental issues.

7.5 Whether environmental audit was conducted?



7.6 Any other relevant information the institution wishes to add. (for example SWOT Analysis)

Strengths: Key focus on creating and disseminating knowledge sustainable development.

Weakness: Self supported model sometimes a challenge to take newer risks

Opportunity: Growing interest of student community in India and globally on the issues of sustainable development as potential for their higher education.

Threats: Many times industry and potential employers are unaware of the significance of newer areas of higher education and the uniqueness of this new cadre of professionals.

TERI University therefore has to also work on creating the new market by making the employers aware.

8. Plans of institution for next year

| Continuation of BLISS School | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • | opment and Management programme. Through at of India Missions aiming to address the need for |
| Adding to above mentioned best practices. | |
| Name Aron Konsch. | Name LEFNA SRIUMSTAUA |
| DR. ARUN KANSAL | here frivasles |
| Signature of the Coordinator, IOAC | Signature of the Chairperson, IQAC |

Annexure 1

Academic calendar

Semester schedule for the Academic Year 2013/14

| Sl. No. | Event | Dates |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. | Orientation and registration for 1st semester 2013/14 - Ph.D., M.Sc., M.B.A., M.A., and M.Tech programmes | 22 July 2013 |
| 2. | Commencement of classes | 23 July 2013 |
| 3. | First minor tests | 26 – 31 August 2013 |
| 4. | Display of marks – first minor tests | 7 September 2013 |
| 5 | Second minor tests | 30 September – 5 October 2013 |
| 6. | Display of marks - second minor tests | 16 October 2013 |
| 7 | Study tours | 7 – 12 October 2013 |
| 8. | Break | 13 – 20 October 2013 |
| 9. | Last day of classes | 23 November 2013 |
| 10. | Final tests | 25 November – 7 December 2013 |
| 11. | MPEC meetings | 19 December 2013 |
| 12. | Display of grades | 26 December 2013 |
| 13. | Meetings of SRCs | 23 – 28 December 2013 |
| 14. | Registration for second semester | 6 January 2014 |
| 15. | Commencement of classes | 7 January 2014 |
| 16. | First minor tests | 10 – 15 February 2014 |
| 17. | Display of marks - first minor tests | 25 February 2014 |
| 18. | Study tours + Mid semester break | 15 – 23 March 2014 |
| 19. | Open week for parents and prospective students to meet VC/Registrar/Faculty members | 24 – 28 March 2014 |
| 20. | Second minor tests | 31 March – 5 April 2014 |
| 21. | Display of marks – second minor tests | 15 April 2014 |
| 22. | Last day of classes | 3 May 2014 |

NRS 171: Environmental Modeling

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.17 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.00 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.25 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.17 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.15 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.25 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.33 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.25 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.25 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.25 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.42 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.50 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.33 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.42 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.33 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.33 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.75 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.50 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.50 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.50 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.75 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.75 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.75 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.63 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.08 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 3.83 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.83 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.08 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.91 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.95 |

Additional Comments

Presentation & Interaction

• The teaching is interactive on the way, to relate the theory to the practical situation is logical and applicable to real situation

Laboratories/Field Work

•

Examination/Tests

- The test reflect what we have learned in the class room
- Test should not be open book, rather formula's should be provided
- Tests should not be open book specially when tests consists of numericals

General Comments

- In modeling, the physical aspect of the phenomenon which is going to be modeled should be perched by students for that, field visit is mandatory
- The instructor is responsible, enthusiastic to teach the concepts and principles what present in the course. Overall he is unindicted instructor and teaching the course in understanding manner.
- It will be good
- 4. The teaching style
- 4. The course met my expectations. The instructor's teaching was comprehensive

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.58 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.33 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.58 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.83 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.33 |

| 1. | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 2. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.67 |
| 3. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.58 |
| 4. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.50 |
| 5. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.50 |
| 6. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.58 |
| 7. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.33 |
| 8. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.25 |
| 9. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.67 |
| 10. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.67 |
| 11. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.25 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.50 |

| 1. | | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | Score |
| 2. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.67 |
| 3. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.67 |
| 4. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 5.00 |
| 5. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 5.00 |
| 6. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 5.00 |
| 7. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.33 |
| 8. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 5.00 |
| 9. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.83 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.81 |

| 1 | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 2 | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.36 |
| 3 | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.73 |
| 4 | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.36 |
| 5 | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.55 |
| 6 | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.45 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.49 |

Additional Comments

Presentation & Interaction

- It is a live class, which is teaching learning process taking place in interactive manner
- The attitude of the teacher is very good and he develops my inters tin the subject

Tutorials

• Tutorials as such were not given. Though numericals and examples were solved and discussed in class

Examination/Tests

- Sir always teaches well, very clear
- It is related to the course and it refreshes students ability to ?? what they have learned in the course

General Comments

- 3. Field work is mandatory
- 3. Better understanding and in depth knowledge
- 3. It will be more helpful
- 4. I like the method of teaching which is deep learning is taking place
- 4. The curriculum should include assignments that challenge practical application of the subject.
- 4. The course is very interesting and challenging and has lot of practical applications in real life. I like the subject a lot.
- 4. I like the applicability of the course
- 4. Lot many formulas which were supposed to be learnt which is not logical
- 4. I like it totally
- 4. Everything was nice

NRS 125 - Forest Management

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.50 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.00 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.50 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.50 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.38 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.75 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.50 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.75 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 5.00 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 5.00 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.75 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 5.00 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 5.00 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 5.00 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.75 |
| Overall Average | | 4.85 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 5.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 5.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 5.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 5.00 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.50 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 5.00 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 5.00 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.81 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.50 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.75 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.75 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.75 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.75 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.70 |

Additional Comments

Presentation & Interaction

• Partially remote sensing is taken by Aniruddha Sir and I am satisfied with the way of his teaching

Laboratories/Field Work

• We can have a few more GPS and compass and other equipment which will be very helpful in field work. Also not many books are available in the library for the subject.

General Comments

- 3. Helped a lot
- 3. We have had a good field experience (with two field trips) and we made assignments which enabled us to understand the subject better.
- 3. The literature survey on life specific topics given was very helpful and assignments helped in polishing our referencing.
- 3. The course is adequate with field work
- 4. I liked field work, assignment and interactive study method
- 4. A very nice and unique course. We are lucky that the course got introduced.
- 4. The work provided a better way of understanding the topics. The course provided good scope for learning new things in the forestry sector
- I like the course work

NRG 177 – Advances in Remote Sensing

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.11 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.00 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.78 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.67 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.39 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.44 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.33 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.11 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.56 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.11 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.00 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.00 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 3.67 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.78 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.10 |
| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.11 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.00 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.13 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.89 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.89 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.89 |
| Ov | erall Average | 3.99 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.11 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.11 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.00 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.78 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.11 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.02 |

NRW 163 - Ground Water Hydrology & Management

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.50 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.17 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.67 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.33 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.42 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.33 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.17 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.50 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.50 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.33 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.83 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.00 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.33 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.00 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.33 |
| Ove | Overall Average | |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.67 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.67 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 5.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 5.00 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 5.00 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.33 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.67 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 5.00 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.79 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.50 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.17 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.67 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.67 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.50 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.50 |

Course Content

- The content was fully covered and all the concepts made thoroughly clear
- He plane and provide us everything regarding teaching material and the teach very nice and good way

Presentation & Interaction

• Everything is the best presentation/books and book skills, video the purpose everything to make the matter understandable.

Tutorials

- Tutorials were not given regularly. But numericals were solved in class and sometimes given as home assignments
- We are having manual tutorial and experiment very clearly, he helped us by doing tutorial and guide us for doing homework

Laboratories/Field Work

- More practicals work should be there
- Sir took us to IIT Delhi for performing lab work, where he demonstrated all the practicals in a way that concepts because clear
- We had two experiment in IIT Delhi which was quite useful
- Project management should include more practicals. Hands on with Govt charts etc. is required.
- Introduction to PM softwares and induction to it would have been more beneficial and thus should be incorporated

Examination/Tests

- For the subjects sir can give the best classes. He teaches very good
- Both the minors were ok

- 3. In depth
- 3. The classes were sufficient
- 3. Small projects would surely help in better understanding of the subject
- 3. Good
- 3. Some guest lectures from running projects would have been more helpful
- 3. It would have given a fair chance of extracting how things are done in the field as it in a practice come
- 3. One assignments of this course helped us to know more about the subject and its different application methods
- 3. Assignment were very beneficial
- 4. More practical approach and hand on software would have helped

- 4. Linear programming is quite interesting
- 4. Structure of the course
- 4. The course is good and gives us new ideas
- 4. Our teacher was quite enthusiastic and encouraging and was willing and helpful to us to perform better
- 4. Course content
- 4. The course helped us to learn a lot about the technical terms, in which we have no such background

NRS 103 – Project Management

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.24 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.35 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.38 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.56 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.13 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.41 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.63 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.47 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.53 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.65 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.47 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.88 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.59 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.76 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.47 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.49 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.17 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.27 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.82 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.50 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.42 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.64 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.82 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.02 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.18 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.50 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.94 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.56 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.56 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.35 |

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.73 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.47 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.60 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.67 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.62 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.73 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.93 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.73 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.93 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.87 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.73 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.47 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.93 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.47 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.87 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.77 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.27 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.80 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.33 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.60 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.53 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.40 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.67 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.33 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.24 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.80 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.87 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.73 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.93 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.73 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.81 |

Presentation & Interaction

- The completion of the course was not organized. Too many chapters were left for the last. Studing new chapters just before exam becomes too difficult. Course completion should be systematic
- The completion of the syllabus should be planned systematically. We were under too much pressure at the end of the semester

Tutorials

- Tutorials should be more frequent
- Tutorials should be more frequently held as compared

- 3. Our instructor that he taught us is enough
- 3. It helped me to discover the things I did not know and helped me prepare myself to present infront of crowds
- 3. if the for mentioned component include in the subject matter, it is important to understand the subject easily
- 3. It helped in better understanding of the subject as well as making it more interesting
- 3. It helped me in learning about new avenues in the field, I got to learn as to how to develop an area of interest and in general how to write assignments make presentations appropriately
- 3. I think, it would be better if students involve themselves in some ongoing projects and try to enhance their knowledge more practically. Howeve3r, we are given chance to do summer intern and major project. But beside this, we should be involved in project related to our study informally. This will bring better practical understanding
- 3. No seminars. Assignments helped a lot to know things beyond class lectures
- 3. It helps us too much, inspired to study the subject thoroughly
- 3. We can have independent approach to studies and find new things ourselves and know things better
- 3. The self study component helped us to know and explore things and methods more clearly and more satisifactorily
- 4. Like -> The area of interest like about Satellites & exploration about the worlds
- 4. I liked the course structure and the instructor's lectures but sometimes its disappointing when the class gets cancelled when we are already in the class. Overall the course was good.
- 4. I like the course structure and ?? of reference book for further study. In addition I like the presentation and interaction.
- 4. What I like about the course is that it opens up new ways of understanding and absencing the world and taking more interest in evaluating different activities logically rather than just observing them
- 4. This course was absolutely new for me but I was able to develop an interest in it by virtue of the teaching methodology. The tests conducted helped me develop logical reasoning and understanding about the subject.
- 4. This course is very new in its type and is really very useful. It has got applications in large number of fields.

- 4. Very interesting topic helping to understand the subject much better. The text books prescribed is very good and contain detailed explanation of the topics
- 4. Like-> The course is very interesting and we gain a lot of knowledge about the subject. Dislike -? Nothing
- 4. Like -? The teacher. Dislike -> Efforts from my side
- 4. Like -> It was very interactive and concepts were made clear
- 4. The new technologies and the new application areas of this course is what I liked the most.

NRG 101 Fundamentals of Computers and Programming

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 2.85 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.00 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.69 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 2.69 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.06 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.31 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 2.92 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.15 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 2.77 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.42 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.75 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.00 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.00 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 2.38 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.08 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.08 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 2.82 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.25 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.09 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 2.67 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 3.25 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.36 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.17 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 2.64 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.03 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 3.62 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 3.08 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.38 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.08 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.54 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.54 |

Laboratories/Field Work

• Lab not done properly

Examination/Tests

- Since he was a guest faculty there were slight irregularities in lectures and large gaps between two lectures
- 2nd minor was not taken

- 3. The assignments helped in understanding a few topics
- 3. Assignments were beneficial
- 3. Interesting assignment
- 4. This course is applicable for my field of study as well as for any future career
- 4. This course fundamental of computer and programming as lengthy because all language have a very long duration will learn that so if we will learn about this programme want some by duration not a one semester
- 4. Like -> Programming and `C' coding was taught quite nice. Dislike -> course was too much as per the time provided for the course in the semester
- 4. The course included everything like Java and many other softwares but the time was too less. Classes were not held properly
- 4. Classes were never held as per schedule and syllabus was not completed
- 4. Faculty was mostly unavailable. Classes not held properly
- 4. Assignments

NRS 133- Environmental Management System

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.35 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.85 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.23 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.04 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.12 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.08 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.00 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.19 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.42 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.40 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.35 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.25 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.27 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.19 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.00 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.22 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.17 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.00 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 3.90 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.55 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.27 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.91 |
| Ov | erall Average | 3.85 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.25 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.24 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.16 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.18 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.09 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.18 |

Course Content

- Should probably be a 2 credit course
- Class teaching is good. But sometimes/think, it becomes very general. Research papers are good to read but more case-studies should be discussed.
- Industrial visit should be compulsory for this course

Presentation & Interaction

- Nicely taught, but would be better if we could have a bit more detailed study
- I really appreciate the way Dr Suresh Jain teaches in class. He is a good teacher

Tutorials

- No tutorials given
- Since there is no book for this course and we cannot rely on presentations. I think from next semester reading materials or handbooks should be made available.

Laboratories/Field Work

• Company visit scheduled. Else no field work done

Examination/Tests

- We do not know the grades this now.
- Exam papers were not returned to us
- Exam papers not returned

- 3. Interesting assignment
- 3. Assignments helpful and helped us get insight into the practicality of subject
- 3. Small projects would help us to learn more. What is being practically implemented
- 3. Presentations and paper discussions helped us in understanding several different aspects related to EMS
- 3. For assignment we were asked to visit industries on our own, it really helped us to get in touch with the work
- 3. The small visit to a company actually helped us to practically visit the theoretical aspects of EMS working in companies
- 3. We were frequently given research papers and assignments to understand the subject in a better way. Also we were asked to get some practical knowledge through company visit, which is really good
- 3. With literature survey, research papers and presentations; I gained the appropriate knowledge concerned to environment.
- 3. Company visit helped to understand now the environment cell functions in a company

- 4. Assignments
- 4. Company visit us useful
- 4. The company visit was really helpful
- 4. I liked this course a lot
- 4. I like the class because its fund and enjoy sitting in the class
- 4. Too much of learning but less knowledge. Should be a 2 credit course
- 4. Field visits would be very useful
- 4. I liked the practical aspect of the course
- 4. I believe this is a very practical course so more industrial visits and company visits should be done. We learnt a lot during the industrial visit
- 4. I like the course, before the course is given in a manner, that are in applicable to the real life situation at the ground. I confirmed this one from the industrial visit what I participated.

NRS 111 - Applied Mathematics

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 2.61 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 2.30 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 1.98 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 2.34 |
| Ove | rall Average | 2.31 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 2.02 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 2.35 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 2.30 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 2.18 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 2.15 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 2.68 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 2.38 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.07 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.10 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 2.23 |
| Ove | rall Average | 2.55 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 2.29 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 2.16 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 2.10 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 2.81 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 2.55 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 2.45 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 2.77 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 2.29 |
| Ov | erall Average | 2.43 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 2.94 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 2.47 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.16 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 2.55 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 2.71 |
| Ove | rall Average | 2.96 |

Presentation & Interaction

• I think she is not able to teach the students of masters level

Tutorials

• No wherein in what was taught, overall a very inspiring experiences. Not teacher's fault though she seems inexperienced and stereotypical

Laboratories/Field Work

• Teacher not coordinate the class. Class totally out of command of the teacher

Examination/Tests

• Tests never declared your understanding

- 3. Everything is just cut, copy, paste
- 3. Self-study assignments were introduced too late to have much of impact. But it did helpful practice
- 3. Assignments helped in decision and practice
- 3. Assignment enhanced concept to this course
- 3. I was able to review many topics
- 3. Can do better
- 3. No improvements at all
- 3. It did not be of any help at all
- 3. Upto some extent
- 4. It does not show the purpose of a doing the course
- 4. Please make the course on application level. Don't give up mugging type
- 4. Like -> Good to learn maths. I will need it. Dislike-> We need a teacher who could help us understand the concepts and ideas behind the maths. We are not in school. Its misc.
- 4. Solving questions
- 4. The teacher does not have a control/command our students. She is too limit and gets confused when asked about doubts.
- 4. Maths is anyway not my subject for non-maths students we had to cover 2 years course in 6 months
- 4. Nothing dislike only like is that it is great subject
- 4. Liked the teacher tried her level best, disliked there were many students in class
- 4. Proper faculty and course started late
- 4. Proper faculty and course started late
- 4. I believe we need a new instructor
- 4. Long class hours
- 4. Maths is interesting and enhances the ability to think and put forward the topic

- 4. Started late, teacher and course structure
- 4. Not satisfied with the instructor
- 4. Could not understand clearly the lectures given by the instructor. The instructor was unable to clear the doubts as well.
- 4. It felt like some formality that was being completed just because it was a part of the course outline
- 4. Liked the content; dislike the long duration of the class

NRS 147 - Environmental Economics

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.80 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.20 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.40 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.60 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.50 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.20 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.80 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.20 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 3.80 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.75 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.80 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.80 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.40 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.00 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.20 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.10 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.25 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.67 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.33 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.00 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.25 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.50 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.13 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.60 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.40 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.60 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.60 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.80 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.60 |

- 3. Helped apply theories to other branches of students and understand the models holistically
- 3. It would be nice if the course takes on from the environmental eco course in 2nd semester and builds up from there on
- 4. The approachability to the teacher was the best of this course and giving flexibility was really appreciated
- 4. Interesting assignment for term paper
- Evaluation was not only dependent on papers but also included others like term paper etc.

NRC 145 - Economics of Climate Change

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 3.80 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.72 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.40 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.20 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.03 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 11. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.36 |
| 12. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.64 |
| 13. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.44 |
| 14. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.21 |
| 15. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.12 |
| 16. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.54 |
| 17. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 2.92 |
| 18. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.16 |
| 19. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 2.52 |
| 20. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.56 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.95 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 9. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.20 |
| 10. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.40 |
| 11. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.40 |
| 12. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.20 |
| 13. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.20 |
| 14. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.75 |
| 15. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.80 |
| 16. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.00 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.12 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 6. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.00 |
| 7. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.12 |
| 8. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.16 |
| 9. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.23 |
| 10. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.79 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.06 |

Course Content

- Reading materials were available but due to non availability of teacher, this course did not meet the expectations we had.
- Reading materials was way to inadequate regular classes weren't held that made it even difficult to follow up the course.

Presentation & Interaction

• Mainly the classes are not scheduled on time but arrangements of extra classes tried to cover the topics and at sometimes it was inconvenient

- Regular classes were not conducted. Some of the classes kept on weekends effected me as I already had a scheduled class on weekends. This has effected me a lot
- I think the teacher should be changed. He is a good teacher, but due to his busy schedule, he could not do justice to tis course.
- Agreed, the faculty has other responsibilities, but the very presence of any teacher was just not there.

Examination/Tests

- Never got the paper back after evaluation
- Minor-I marks have still not been given, We have no due where do we stand. Kindly check the teacher from next semester. It's a humble request.
- There was just one exam and results were not discussed so the kind of evaluation is done could not be assessed. Hence, any improvements from our side was difficult

- 3. No such component
- 3. The course work have presentation which did need understanding a particular sector
- 3. We are yet to submit the presentation
- 3. Can not be better than Dr Mishra
- 3. It would be better to have that and it would help better
- 4. Sir is a very good teacher and I always look forward to attending his class. But he hardly learns up. The course content is really good; but more session with the faculty and consistence on his side would have proved definite by better.
- 4. The understanding of the current climate change issues happening in the world and current basis by the discussions that took place in class is appreciable.
- 4. One of the major issues we students faced is that not enough classes were conducted. This course is extremely informative and useful and was taught very nicely by sir. But it would have been better, if more classes were allotted and more discussions and doubts classification would be done using sir pool of knowledge
- 4. The touch on the basics of last semester economics are also made clear along with the new topics. Classes are interesting to attend as lectures are interesting. It could have been better of more tests were held apart from only minor I that took place.
- 4. The subject is very interesting and should be taught thoroughly. However, because of unavailability of the faculty, made it difficult to get certain crux of the topics, only if things are more regular, that will help maintaining the flow of the subject otherwise in goes waste.
- 4. Should include regular tests; assignments could be given
- 4. The instructor has very clear understanding of the subject. It was very educating. However, if the classes could be kept more regularly, the course would have been very rigorous and good
- 4. It would have better if classes were taken regularly. More topics could have been discussed by the teacher; I like method of teaching used by sir. It has been a pleasure attending his classes.
- 4.Classes were not help regularly otherwise whenever the classes were held they were excellent
- 4. I liked the way of teaching the subject, because I came to learn many new concepts related to climate change
- 4. Liked the practical application though would have been better had the classes happened on time & regularly
- 4. The lectures should have been help regularly
- 4. The Prof is quite efficient in making the topic interesting. Theory points about this course is the allotment of class, and time given to the subjects. Not enough time was given for the understanding as the faculty most of the time was out of station.

NRS 127 - Cultural Ecology

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.36 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.43 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.50 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.86 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.54 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.50 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.36 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.50 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.36 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.64 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.50 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.64 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.64 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.57 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.57 |
| Ove | Overall Average | |

| | | Average Score |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | 30010 |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and | |
| | attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and | |
| | clarifications of doubts was | |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | |
| Ov | erall Average | |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.78 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.67 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.63 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.50 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.63 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.64 |

Presentation & Interaction

- More case studies should be discussed
- Madam classes can be a better monotonous; eventually making it sleepy

Laboratories/Field Work

• No field work a field visits

Examination/Tests

- Instead of having two long objective questions, short questions testing our knowledge could have been better
- We had workshops and presentations instead. They were useful

- 3. Presentations on allotted topics were interesting and the groups involved could get better knowledge of the same
- 3. It had such a component and it cultivated a sense inquisitiveness
- 3. Conducting workshops was an excellent evaluation to discuss topics in groups; Presentation allotments helped to understand a particular topics in detail
- 3. We had workshops as part of the course which were very interactive and brought is new directions to issues that served plain at first
- 3. We have had to make presentations and we have had workshop, it was really helpful and helped us voice our opinions on various topics
- 3. Helped analyse a subject from different perspectives
- 3. Motivated us to think fairly and present own ideas
- 4. The workshop made me think from an opinion; frame it; give words and express it before people followed by a discussions/ debate
- 4. Workshop; interactive sessions; documentary scenario
- 4. The workshops worked best
- 4. The course could have been extensive and include political and anthropological issues
- 4. A lot of case studies were discussed in the class which made the subject more interesting and helped in understanding of the theories
- 4. Like-> The course was very discussion client. The course could have been a little mere in depth
- 4. The guest lectures were really good
- 4. The emphasis as tribal and their well being
- 4. The course provided me with new knowledge on the topics covered and probed me to think further and deeply on human centric subjects

NRG 175-The Principles of GIS & GPS

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.86 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.86 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.86 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.86 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.86 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.79 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.57 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.86 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 5.00 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.93 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.93 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.79 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 5.00 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 5.00 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 5.00 |
| Ove | Overall Average | |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.79 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.79 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.86 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.86 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.93 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.43 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.36 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.93 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.74 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.93 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.93 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 5.00 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 5.00 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 5.00 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.97 |

Laboratories/Field Work

• He encouraged as well as helped us to do the practical activities

- 3. This is basically important course for doing the research and assessing the problem so that the for mentioned points should be implemented
- 3. It helped in understanding the subject better, have a very clear view about the basics and it inspired to take up new challenges of the world think out of the box and supply GIS to address their.
- 3. Assignments were very useful for learning the subject better. Specially the practicals
- 3. Different assignments, seminars, etc. helped us to learn the subject more clearly and we were able to explore the things in details.
- 3. The assignments given helped us have an indepth knowledge about the course. It encouraged us to consult related study materials which further enhanced our knowledge
- 3. Assignments two projects were really beneficial
- 3. It helped us to be independent and gain knowledge. We are always keen to learn new things in his class
- 3. It helped me to keep interact with the course all the time
- 4. I like the subject
- 4. The practical classes are intensive and contains detailed explanation. The theory classes encourage to think differently. And adapt a research oriented mind. Most of all, presence and way of teaching followed by the instructor increased the interest in this subject to a very great extent
- 4. The course helps a lot to understand the subject better. The book prescribed is very good and the instructor helps a lot to clear the doubts.
- 4. Though this course was totally new for me, the teaching methodology helped me develop an interact for this subject and encouraged me to take it up for higher studies.
- 4. The course was done in proper time and always in schedule
- 4. This course is as such that new innovations are always being upgraded for more knowledge to interact it from, so learning this course has always been amusing

NRC 131 Basics of Climate Science

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.63 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.38 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.63 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.13 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.44 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.75 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.13 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.88 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.38 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.38 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.75 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.63 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.50 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 3.63 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.00 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.10 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.29 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.71 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.14 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.25 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.88 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.63 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.88 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.14 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.25 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.63 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.25 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.75 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.38 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.45 |
Course Content

• She actually made us very familiar with this vast subject

Presentation & Interaction

• She is not a good but also a great motivator

Tutorials

• She is very brilliant teacher

Examination/Tests

- Very interesting, application based questions were asked
- The test level is really brilliant. We feel that we are doing our masters

- 3. The research paper review has helped a lot in understanding processes of my interest in great details and also I get a chance to know about the instruments and technology used.
- 3. Trips to IMD, glacier hydrology site
- 3. We had it all
- 3. I love this subject. I will use college library internet and other library books.
- 4. A new and interesting area of study. Thoroughly enjoyed the discussion in class with the teacher
- 4. Like -> Learning new phenomenon and concepts which were never taught before
- 4. This is really a good subject, in fact everyone must be familiar with it to support the climate

NRW 131 - Glacier Hydrology

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 3.88 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.50 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.63 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.63 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.91 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.25 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.38 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.13 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.25 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.38 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.38 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.63 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.38 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.13 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.13 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.20 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.50 |
| | | 0.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.83 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.83 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.60 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.00 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.33 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.00 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.01 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.00 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 3.63 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.88 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.25 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.63 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.68 |

Course Content

- Course content was good since its an introductory session/refresher curses on glacier hydrology. Basic concepts are dealt with to get a overview of concepts in this field
- More reading material should be provided
- Reading related to the topics should be provided

• It is a very good course. It should be introduced in second semester

Presentation & Interaction

- Presentations were good, instructor allowed good interaction in class
- I really like the teacher. He is a great man

Tutorials

• May be more tutorial classes could have helped

Laboratories/Field Work

- Best ever field work even through bad weather spoiled our plans
- Fieldtrip was well manager. Infact outstanding management

Examination/Tests

• We should have been shown the answersheets

- 3. Assignments/literature review helped us to understand better about advancements
- 3. The Kolahai field experience was the best of all. To understand glaciology field visit is very important. As we had this opportunity it gave us entirely a different perception of Himalayan eco system
- 3. The assignment on paper presentation helped understand the on field research details
- 3. It would help us to gain better knowledge and clear the concepts in a better way
- 4. the enthusiasm of the professor to show us new things
- 4. The best part was the trip to Kolahai Glacier where we observed all the features taught in theory. The classes were interesting and sir encouraged on answering the questions put forward in class
- 4. It gives the actual picture of the glaciers and can be said is a meet especially for CSP students. It gives better understanding still untouched over of research. Can have a future scope. I found the course interesting
- 4. So far, this course has proved to be most interesting among all other courses. Field visit was a great learning experience. A prescribed book should be given and more case studies should be discussed

| NRS 145 - Integrated | Impact Assessment |
|----------------------|-------------------|
|----------------------|-------------------|

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 3.93 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.67 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.93 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.05 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.89 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.82 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.84 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.84 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.07 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.05 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.09 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.31 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.18 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.15 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.93 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.03 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.07 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.14 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.08 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.14 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.79 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.29 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.06 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.02 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.17 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.12 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.16 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.13 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.12 |

Course Content

- More case studies should be discussed. It is a very good course since it is very applied
- Since this course is very much applied and we read about the issues (for people who regularly read newspaper) everyday, I think more case studies should be discussed
- Most interesting course, read till date in TERI University

Presentation & Interaction

- Its sometimes difficult to understand what madam says but overall the subject was a great experience for me, learnt many things
- Instructor's enthusiasm to make us think logically is commendable. She is very approachable after the class and students can connect with her easily
- I really liked the guest lectures. Interaction with government officially is a learning experience. However, students should read newspapers more since this subject requires a little bit of homework.
- Gest lectures made us to get very useful knowledge in practical sense
- The knowledge acquired was very general. Learning the same things in other courses

Tutorials

- Practical application based tutorials very helpful for conceptual understanding
- Tutorial rarely conducted

Laboratories/Field Work

- Field work are required in the course as we would be able to learn more. Practical info is more required in this subject.
- No fieldwork

Examination/Tests

- Though exams were little tough, it promoted thinking and looking at problems from a novel way
- Quality of question papers was very good
- More of paper reading and knowledge of current scenario should be done
- Very well evaluated
- Course content was very nice
- Grades not returned; no evaluation
- Examinations were all application based and yes tested our rate learning

- 3. Small projects would help us learn further about the practical implications
- 3. Kindly take surprise tests/students don't take this course seriously

- 3. The assignments were interesting but the group size was too large
- 3. More group consignments and discussions to be done. The discussions done in class were very interesting and helpful but due to have constraint it was not done as much
- 3. Assignment was good as it helped me to know how to practically apply the principles of IIA. However, since the class size is big, there was only one assignment that was taken
- 3. It will be very helpful
- 3. Various types of assignments were given, which surely helped me to understand the subject lecture. Many guest lectures were also held
- 3. Assignments were really interesting and made up interact with various people from different curse to enact real life situation. Guest lecture were very helpful
- 3. The assignments and presentations helped in learning new things and gave an experience of how things actually take place outside academic relations
- 3. Too big groups proved to be an impediment
- 3. It helped me to understand the subject more better way
- We had many assignments and presentations, helped us understand the subject better
- 3. Presentations on various industry Leopold matrices was very helpful and the assignments on logic model interesting
- 3. The course did have assignments which helped in working and learning in a team; developing solutions to problems that are sure to arise in real situation
- 3. Field work with some industry or project is required
- 3. We did many assignments which was quite useful. Even guest lectures were very educating
- 4. The course has many linkages to the daily life and so the faculty has provided the knowledge. Rote learning was avoided by faculty and more of application based
- 4. The group work was interesting, it was more interactive and helped learning better
- 4. I liked the course a lot but would be happy if field work would be conducted
- 4. we can add a mock parliamentary session where students represent stakeholders industries and government agencies and a detailed debate on current issues is conducted
- 4. More practical knowledge should be there, decrease class size, application based study should be there
- 4. The guest lectures were interesting. Should be continued with more time given for interaction with guests
- 4. Interesting guest lectures
- 4. It was a nice experience, the course content was ultimate
- 4. the practical issues, that are dealt with, also the lively discussion that occurs in the class
- 4. It gives us an understanding also helped to think in a logical way
- 4. Course was okay. Very general, did not learn much
- 4. The thing I liked about the course were the guest lectures taken by Dr Neeraj Sharma and Dr Sakaramji
- 4. The classes were irregular and not very EIA based
- 4. I liked the assignments
- 4. Like -> touching upon the current issues and allotment of respective reading material
- 4. More hands in tools usage would be better like models usage, GIS tools etc.
- 3. The assignments really helped us in understanding the subject better and diversify our knowledge
- 4. Concepts were not clearly taught and problems were not properly discussed in class
- 4. Dislike -> Not enough importance to the science
- 4. The syllabus is a bit heavy. More classes should be assigned for this course

NRS 113 - Advanced Statistics

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.45 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.14 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.61 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.09 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.32 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.50 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.57 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.43 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.47 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.35 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.50 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.37 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.61 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.45 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.44 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.47 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.37 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.45 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.44 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.37 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.55 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.82 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.87 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.27 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.27 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.12 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.33 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.21 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.38 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.11 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.23 |

Course Content

• Everything arranged in excellent mode very good

Presentation & Interaction

- I have learned so much things from this subject he makes the things very easy to understand
- It is student participatory and interactive approach of presentation ability

Tutorials

- He helps us any time even after class any where, he has given us assignment which are helpful
- Problem solved in the class really help to apply whatever have learned in the lecture

Examination/Tests

- Very clear and very very good
- The exam papers have not been returned yet
- If checked papers would have been provided it would be better to understand our mistakes
- Additional pilot project study can be provided that involve analysis
- It was very enthusiastic to attend the classes of this subject. I am very lucky

- 3. He is really a teacher and he feel responsible and he is trying to teach us really
- 3. More assignments would help to practice more numericals and make the concepts more clear
- 3. Though we were taught from good and live example case studies, some particular and new assignment having primary dataset would have been really good
- 3. More examples would help us more
- 3. Assignments help understand the statistical tool better
- 3. Assignments provided better understanding
- 3. It has a self-study component
- 3. Better understanding of concept
- 3. They are very helpful. Must be given and evaluated more frequently
- 4. It was very helpful and interesting even the sir made it very clear and none easy and us full by his deep knowledge
- 4. Sir is very receptive about feedback. He actually changed his teaching style to suit our requirements when we provided him with feedback
- 4. Strength was high. Class should be split into small no. 25-30
- 4. Like > Sir helped to make the course clear and understand better. Dislike -> Too much syllabus
- 4. Prateek sir has done full justice to such an analytical subject. I appreciate him totally
- 4. I like the course
- 4. The concepts covered; teacher's enthusiasm to teach
- 4. It was extremely unstructured and the course was taught in too much rush throughout the semester. Also, no advanced softwares were used. Only minitab was used which is now obsolete
- 4. Teaching style of the teacher
- 4. more examples and assignments should be given and solved within the class
- 4. Excellent course and most importantly excellent teacher
- 4. Helps to interpret the experimental data to a better extent
- 4. Course is interesting and applicable

NRS 121 - Ecology

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.20 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.76 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.48 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.16 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.90 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.68 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.08 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.24 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 3.32 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.64 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.48 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.71 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.88 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.64 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.56 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.62 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.72 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.25 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.06 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.56 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 3.72 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.42 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.47 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.26 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.43 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 3.84 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 3.84 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.04 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.88 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.60 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.84 |

Course Content

- More copies of the specified book should be in library. Just one copy is there
- Library should have more reference books

Tutorials

• I feel that the course content can be better organized. Also, the instructor could improve in terms of audibility, communication skills and skills in speaking and writing communication English. The sentence in the slides are often grammatically wrong

Laboratories/Field Work

- Additional laboratory work and more field trips must be introduced
- Need more field trips
- More field work would be great!

Examination/Tests

- This subject is so interesting, but teacher can make little effort to make it more interesting
- Field work and practical work should be more
- When the questions are `thinking' questions, there is often no fixed answer. Everyone may have a different perspective of it. So the teacher should be more accommodating towards the students reasoning

- 3. Small projects study to relate class concept
- 3. Have more time to do research (literature) rather than only to constraint on class ppt and lecture. I should say it is better to have self-study component system
- Was helpful as we could get a change to experiment in obs what we learnt
- 3. Small projects and assignment would be of great help in developing the analytical ability and practical knowledge of the subject
- 3. Helped to practically understand. Reading very good. To think out of bounds
- 3. Practical implementation was learnt but very little. More out of class activities is desired
- 3. It helped to learn new things
- 3. We had a seminar made by the students for the field trip it was a useful expertise
- 3. This course had a small project which helped us in correlating our knowledge (taught in class) with the field area conditions etc.
- 3. It would have helped as to understand the topics better
- 4. This main emphasis is on application part of ecology
- 4. It is my favorite course, just some communication problem with lecture
- 4. Interactive sessions, class environment, Dislike-> The reference book begun
- 4. Lesser number of field trips just one. More of theory ecology is an interesting subject. Make it more interesting instead of re? on theory only
- 4. Like-> all the components of course
- 4. The syllabus was vast and fine given for preparation was limited
- 4. I like the details in which the topics are dealt with
- 4. The course structure is too bulky especially for non-science students. Addition of field trips would really help them better
- 4. Like-> The way he teaches, exams
- 4. The course is very interesting but the overall teaching sometimes become a bit boring
- 4. I liked the teaching methodology the most were you were to reason out rather than provided readymade solution
- 4. Too much inappropriate topics were included
- 4. The presentations are really amazing with analogies and opt examples to explain a topic in greater detail
- 4. More field applications needed! Well structured, focus on data analysis
- 4. Like the vast horizons covered
- 4. Subject is very vast. Too much syllabus
- 4. Nothing new to learn
- 4. The professor made law very interesting

NRS 155- Environmental Law

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.79 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.63 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.75 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.63 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.70 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.67 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.71 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.78 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.63 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.59 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.71 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.63 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.67 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.71 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.75 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.68 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.40 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.57 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.43 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.31 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.58 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.29 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.29 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.57 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.43 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.52 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.33 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.48 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.55 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.25 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.43 |

Examination/Tests

• The evaluation didn't; the course material, term paper and class discussions however, did

- 3. Improved awareness about topics related to environment
- 3. Lots of research while writing term paper was done. Helped in creating more interest and knowledge of the subject
- 3. It was sufficient!! This course also fitted in beautifully with cultural ecology (originally a third semester course)
- 3. I had done the paper on Jaitpur Nuclear Power Plant came to know about the dark sides of development to the root level
- 3. Assignments were not there but the term paper helped us a lot to study more about law. Prepare the course was really helpful in making by learn the aspects of law.
- 3. The course included writing a term paper which was very useful and helped a lot. It also inspired us to think, evaluate and comment.
- 3. Inspired to go into the depth of subject and critically comment on it
- 3. It made me explore new concepts in resource management wrt law, policy and management. Coming from a science background, rigorously differentiating between policy, law and management aspects was a revelation
- 4. Way of teaching, course outline
- 4. Nothing, everything was just project about law and the teacher
- 4. Created awareness about the laws about environment
- 4. I like the teaching and easy going at the same time responsible approach towards subject.
- 4. Syllabus was too big to cover in one semester
- 4. The way of teaching how he made us think was amazing never found law more interesting
- 4. The course is very basic It should have covered more topics related to law and should have given a better chance to us to understand the environmental law.
- 4. The course gave the knowledge about the environmental laws & judicial structure of India. It was useful and helpful to know about this.
- 4. A well-structured course with an excellent teacher
- 4. The overall integration of aspects beyond just the topic
- 4. Term paper, class discussions, course material

NRW 171 - Water Resource Optimization & Water Quality Modeling

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.33 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.50 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.80 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.60 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.56 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.30 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.30 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.40 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.40 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.20 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.50 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.20 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.50 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.30 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.50 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.36 |

| | | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.83 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.83 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.83 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.83 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.67 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.83 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.67 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.75 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.56 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.60 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.50 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.70 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.50 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.57 |

Course Content

• Everything was very good and excellent his deep knowledge regarding subject helped us a lot to understand

Presentation & Interaction

• Everything was very good and excellent. He was responding questions any time

Tutorials

• We had tale lab experiment in IIT which was my helpful and he spend a lot of time extra their schedule for us to learn

- 3. More assignment and classes
- 3. Teaches very well
- 4. Instructor should take help of presentations more for explanation of theoretical topics. More tutorials should be given which should be a part of evaluation

NRS 149-Governance & Management of Natural Resource/NRC 141-Governance of Climate Change

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.23 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.97 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.18 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.45 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.21 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.72 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.97 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 3.54 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.92 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.03 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.03 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.21 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.23 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.03 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.97 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.44 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.33 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.22 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.22 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.22 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.11 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.50 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.33 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.30 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.14 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.26 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.08 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.25 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.97 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.14 |

Course Content

- Initially the course seemed being but as we started grasp ray, the topics became more interesting and provided a lot of knowledge
- Reading material rather than given for homework could be done in class and then discussed

- The reading material provided was very helpful and provided a greater understanding of the issues in curse
- Too many readings for one class

Presentation & Interaction

- Thoroughly enjoyed this course. All the readings prescribed gave me new knowledge
- Madam is very good in her field. There was so much to learn
- Efforts put in the subject is appreciable
- There was some disappointment from the instructor's side as the papers given were usually not read- a suggestion is papers could be given (1-2) during class hours done with it on that day

Tutorials

• No tutorials given. But term paper was given. It was a great learning experience

Laboratories/Field Work

• No field work done

Examination/Tests

- Case-study based tests are interesting and I am happy that it was not a rate-learning thing
- Test was application based

- 3. The assignment/term paper enabled in-depth understanding and application of the subject.
- 3. It would help a lot
- 3. Term paper -> trying to find out about policies etc provided new knowledge and increased my interest
- 3. It helped me understand concepts better and probed me to read further online, though it did not help me in class
- 3. Term paper helped in understanding the subject better as we could analyze the problem in much details
- 3. It had an assignment as a term paper-which was quite beneficial
- 3. Term paper was given. Enhanced the learning
- 3. Term paper helped us candidate the concepts of governance into real-life case study.
- 3. Analysis of existing institutions policies were interesting
- 4. The course structure is interesting, should be maintained. Having a full-day seminar on governance issues in future would be interesting
- 4. I liked the discussions and of course the presence of a IAS officer to give on-field experiences. Such presence of field experts highlights important and critical subjects.
- 4. Improvement: Co-ordinator should require to speak in simple words during classes, lot learning jargons
- 4. Loved the course

- 4. The content of this course is interesting
- 4. A few guest lectures from people actually on the field would have been interesting
- 4. I liked the course a lot
- 4. The prof is not able to make the subject more interesting. Though an effort is put but then, the ability to ?? attention of the students is missing
- 4. Interesting assignments

NRS 175 - Geoinformatics for Natural Resource Management

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 3.86 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.79 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.93 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.57 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.79 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.93 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.21 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.14 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.29 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.29 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.21 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.79 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.93 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.21 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.00 |
| | Overall Average | 4.10 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.50 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.50 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.50 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.50 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.31 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.08 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.25 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.25 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.25 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.92 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.15 |

Course Content

• So far this is the best course of TERI University. I really like it since it is very applied

Tutorials

- Tutorials need to be done for more emphasis on self-understanding of testing at a milder level than a test which is evaluated
- No tutorials given. We only had a presentation
- A very great and sincere teaches. He encourages us to think and supports our ideas. A very good teacher indeed

Laboratories/Field Work

- More attention needs to be built in regarding the understanding of the subject/practical. This was becoming to following the steps kind of approach. Tutorials (with no edition) could be given periodically to develop a thought process
- Lab work was interesting, but things would have been clearer if we could always have sir while doing the pracs

Examination/Tests

- Tests and examination were of great quality as they made us think reasonably and out of box
- Question papers are really good. I appreciate the fact that conceptual questions are asked.

- 3. The presentation held was of great importance. It helped us to understand the multifaceted approach GIS & RS can have
- 3. The assignment helped us understand the applicability better
- 3. Small projects would help us to learn to apply the various echs taught in class
- 4. Working with satellite data
- 4. I liked this course a lot but we have been satisfied if a bit more could be done. I felt it
 was just an overview
- 4. The practicals could have been more interactive and basic explanation of each practical necessary. It should go simultaneously with topics taught in class
- A. Need more practical learning, the presentations need to be more clear, as we have absolutely no background in GIS except for elementary information provided in semester 2
- 4. Overall the quality of teaching in this course is the best among other courses.
- 4. The course provided new knowledge about GIS, RS etc. that we can apply practically on field

NRN 183 - Energy & Environment

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 3.65 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.47 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.18 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.53 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.46 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.24 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.12 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.18 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 2.65 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 2.88 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.35 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 2.94 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.12 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 3.40 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.13 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.10 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.50 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.50 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 5.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.50 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.50 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.00 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.00 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.25 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 3.06 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 2.59 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 2.71 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.31 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.07 |
| Ove | rall Average | 2.95 |

Course Content

• The topics provided an overview, not at all suitable at MSc level

Presentation & Interaction

• No thinking was there in the course. Presentations were boring and lectures were hardly interactive. No new knowledge gained from this course. Everything was already taught either in previous semester or school

Examination/Tests

- Tests had basic questions, mostly rate learning, no thinking questions nor assignments given
- Essay type questions are given in exam. Just exam from the presentation and write down on answer sheet without thinking. Cramming power was tested in exam rather than understanding and decision making. School question paper looked much tougher than master's level course
- But he is an excellent professor
- The level of questions in exams were poor and very basic and not making kinds where concepts were never asked for

- 3. The course should provide more technical knowledge rather than being just theoretical
- 3. No assignments/term papers were given although provision was there mentioned in the course outline.
- Dislike -> course was all about cramming the sides
- Like -> New knowledge about the different energy uses was highlighted
- 4. The topics did not provide much new knowledge
- 4. If at all the course involved less cramming and more understanding and testing of concepts, it would have been useful. Examinations were school level questions which are never expected for masters level and especially for "20 marks"

NRS 101 - Communication Skills

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.49 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 5.35 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.29 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.03 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.54 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.66 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.78 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.68 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.61 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.54 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.59 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.33 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.68 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.38 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.50 |
| Overall Average | | 4.58 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.96 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.17 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.86 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.13 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.52 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.83 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.13 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.22 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.10 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.36 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.32 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.51 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.49 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.35 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.41 |

Course Content

- No portion of learning scientific writingVery well laxed out and well executed as a course

Presentation & Interaction

- Lectures were very well presented
- She is very interactive
- Very professional

- 3. Some more practical like presentations, gave us for live experience
- 3. Feedback of presentation was useful
- 3. It helped to improve our skills in various ways, especially listening (atleast for mc)
- 3. The session was full of fun and knowledge. Gained a lot of skills that will be helpful in near future
- 3. Got better on interpersonal, presentation skills
- 3. It already helps us a lot, so in that case if there are some improvements, it would be helpful for us
- 3. It helped to certain extent
- 3. The presentation were very good
- 3. The presentations prepared us for future projects
- 3. Content and teaching style was good
- 4. Great presentations. Instructor was very helpful in building confidence in this field
- 4. Like > Madam was always patient to hear everyone. And always motivated us, no matter whatever weakness and areas of improvements we had. Maintained light environment helping in grasping the match easily. Madam should only teach the upcoming batches
- 4. Very interactive and highly beneficial
- 4. Knowing about strength and weaknesses in communication skills
- 4. It is interesting course basically relax us while attending the class
- 4. Interactive class
- 4. Like the teacher's effort in making our concept clear
- 4. Like -> For the very good moral development of all the students
- 4. yes this course has helped develop our communication skills better
- 4. Mam is really serious, for her everyone is equal, this gives us a good confident did in us, so we can speak up frankly or ask our doubts clearly
- 4. Like -> Subject is very interesting and lowers the tiredness
- 4. It was very light and enthusiastic session
- Like -> Engaging
- Dislike -> Did not learn much about writing
- 4. The teacher is very helpful
- 4. Generalized communication skills were taught. Could be more in terms of research
- 4. It was a kind of interactive session
- 4. I liked the course and especially the teacher's approach towards it
- 4. I enjoyed the session
- 4. It was a very interactive class. Helped us in improving our communication skills
- 4. Overall professional approach and dedication

NRS 139 - Environmental Geo Sciences

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.02 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.66 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.98 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.43 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.77 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.96 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.00 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.77 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 3.83 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.98 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.87 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.50 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.00 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.26 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.66 |
| Overall Average | | 3.88 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.83 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.73 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.74 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.88 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.08 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.56 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.77 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.73 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.79 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 3.80 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 3.75 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.91 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.86 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.77 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.82 |

Laboratories/Field Work

- Field work must be incorporated into the coursework
- No field work or laboratory work was involved as such

Examination/Tests

• The testing was extremely lengthy and base on role learning

- 3. Study tour or small projects would have helped more
- 3. The term paper writing was helpful. It helped to increase our knowledge to a greater extent and inspired us to think more and write critically our views
- 3. Inspired to probe further into a topic and give critical analysis
- 3. Well, I will do self-study and take help from library
- 4. Course has too much of geology, geography in it
- 4. It was an interactive session
- 4. Punctuality and time management of the teacher
- 4. To think about just availability about the renewable resources; to think for recent topics and general awareness
- 4. The course seemed to be the geography that we have already studied. We did not learn much about environmental geosciences as a subject
- 4. Session was interactive
- 4. I like the various general knowledge concepts discussed in between the class session
- 4. The course was helpful but was taught to a basic theoretical value in some topics while the examination was based on understanding and application
- 4. Lectures were very-very boring
- 4. Awareness about global geological phenomenon
- 4. The time period allotted is not enough for the completion of the course. As such indepth study was lacking
- 4. Some parts were irrelevant to the course
- 4. Like -> the concepts explained in the course
- 4. Dislike > The subject became too much geology of environmental geosciences
- 4. Like -> Course structure is well developed and interesting
- 4. Vast and informative
- 4. Very informative
NRS 124 - Vegetation Science and Site Classification

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.56 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.11 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.50 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.20 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.34 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.22 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.33 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.38 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.56 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.56 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.89 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.88 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.56 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.88 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.56 |
| | Overall Average | 4.58 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.14 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.57 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.50 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.29 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.14 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.29 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.12 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.17 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.40 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.20 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.33 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.75 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.37 |

Additional Comments

Course Content

- Course content of first week did not fit very well, some of them were not connected with the field work
- I am getting reading materials by mail, but it would printed on paper more useful for me

Presentation & Interaction

• We not only studying a particular topic but also studied practical application of it which made things easy to understand

Laboratories/Field Work

- Would be better to have botanists in the first day. It is unsatisfying if the data will not be used later. There where it enough measurement tools
- The field trip to Nainital was very good. We learnt many things about vegetarian science that were not taught earlier. It is a very good experience if we wish to go ahead with research in this field.

Examination/Tests

- As an external participant, I have not been in to real tests yet
- There were no tests but we presented our concept rate and will persons the analysis of the data we collected during field work

General Comments

- 3. The fieldwork was extremely helpful for me to get an idea of how to do plots and of how to go on with own research related to my thesis
- 3. Course has self-study components
- 3. We have had many exercises in class which enabled us to understand the concepts much better. It should be done in other courses as well.
- 3. Field work, data analysis through software and group presentation were highly encouraging
- 4. India and the field work, Himalaya review of statistical methods
- 4. The fieldwork and the nice groups of professors who were always very helpful; Too much time lost to put the data in the computers instead of learning how to use the computer program for that properly
- 4. The team building part was poor. We may need to devote atleast half a day for introduction and team building during a long course like this and that too participants with multidisciplinary, multi-cultural, multicontinental backgrounds. In long courses, the "chemistry" (e.g. student/ multicultural/ Multilanguage) may be kept away.
- 4. I liked the approach of the course on a practical basis like field work and tutorials
- 4. It is one of a kind course, on a short spam we have been able to understand many crucial concepts which will be of great-help in our coming major projects.
- 4. I liked the field work, teaching of ecological analysis softwares analysis and presentation which were very much systematic
- 4. Learnt how to go about a planned research. Concept formulation proved helpful

NRS 131 - Environmental Chemistry

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 3.86 |
| 2. | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.36 |
| 3. | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.64 |
| 4. | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.77 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.66 |

| | Presentation and Interaction | Average Score |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | In terms of organization, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.95 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.27 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.14 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 3.90 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.05 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.05 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.95 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.76 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.18 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.77 |
| | Overall Average | 4.00 |

| | Tutorials and Practicals | Average Score |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.81 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.20 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.20 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.13 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.80 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.93 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.93 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.00 |

| | Examination/Tests | Average Score |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 3.86 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.09 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.95 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.77 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.82 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.90 |

Additional Comments

Course Content

• Though name of the subject – Environmental Chemistry but no chemistry application was involved

Presentation & Interaction

• She was more concerned for teaching minute eng and grammar specifications than the subject

Laboratories/Field Work

- No laboratory or field work was there
- No field work or practicals were involved in the course

Examination/Tests

• It was actually note learning, only specific answers with the note language of her presentation were given marks

General Comments

- 3. It would have helped us to understand the subject better
- 3. Seminar was illuminating
- 3. Yes, it was helpful to understand better
- 4. No presentation or reading material were there
- 4. We did deal in detail about the environmental issues but chemistry was not dealt with in detail.
- 4. The thing that I liked about the class was that it was quite interactive
- 4. Instead of the chemistry part, the general environment facts were taught. There should have been more focus on the chemistry part of syllabus as its essential for other semesters
- 4. Encouraged to think clearly and in better way; very little chemistry; complete course not covered
- 4. The course was informative
- 4. The content was communicated very clearly and efficiently
- 4. I like the way of her teaching
- 4. Was basic but we could link all environmental issues to basic natural resources
- 4. What I liked most about this subject is that it provoked me to think and made it clear to me that studying science is a matter of attitude of really appreciate the way it was taught although I feel little more chemistry would've been good

Annexure 3

| 5 April 2014 | PRAVAAH 2014 annual students conclave on Business | TERI University |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 26 March 2014 | Training Course on "Basics of Satellite Meteorolog | TERI University |
| 26 March 2014 | Open House for prospective students | TERI University |
| 10 March 2014 | BLISS 2014 - Summer School on Sustainability | TERI University |
| 21 February 2014 | Training program on 'Rooftop Solar Web-GIS Tool fo | TERI University |
| 18 February 2014 | Inauguration of Solar Lighting Laboratory | TERI University |
| 12 February 2014 | Institutional Seminar "Innovation in energy - vehi | TERI University |
| 5 February 2014 | Sixth Convocation Ceremony | TERI University |
| 31 January 2014 | Indian Remote Sensing (IRS) series: A Saga of 25 Y | TERI University |
| 31 January 2014 | Indian Remote Sensing (IRS) series: A Saga of 25 Y | TERI University |
| 27 January 2014 | Research Training Workshop | TERI University |
| 22 January 2014 | Workshop on Curriculam Development | TERI University |
| 8 January 2014 | Special Course on "The Bacteria and their Function | TERI University |
| 11 December 2013 | Institutional Seminar "Social Metabolism and Envir | TERI University |
| 20 November 2013 | Institutional Talk "India's Key Development Challe | TERI University |
| 20 November 2013 | GIS day | TERI University |
| 15 November 2013 | Abhimanth2013 'Corporate Social Responsibility' Th | TERI University |

| 12 November 2013 | TERI University Book Fair 2013 | TERI University |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 10 November 2013 | Alumni Meet 'Reminiscence 2013' | TERI University |
| 25 October 2013 | Institutional seminar 'Natural disaster and resilient transport: learning from East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami' | TERI University |
| 24 October 2013 | REtopia 2013 | TERI University |
| 23 October 2013 | Institutional seminar 'Biodiversity conservation 1 | TERI University |
| 8 October 2013 | Workshop on "Writing a Good Research Paper : Optim | TERI University |
| 25 September 2013 | Institutional seminar "Boom Before Bust? The Indus | TERI University |
| 18 September 2013 | Institutional seminar "Nuclear Energy: Current St | L 001 |
| 4 September 2013 | Institutional Seminar "Risk, Environment and Society. | L 001 |
| 26 August 2013 | Seminar on Land-use related Biodiversity in India | TERI University |
| 18 July 2013 | Visit by Prof. Randy Woodson, Chancellor, North Carolina State University | TERI University |
| 15 July 2013 | TERI University Launches Summer School BLISS for | TERI University |
| | | |

Annexure 4

| STATEMENT OF FINAN | Nev | NIVERSITY v Delhi DSITION AS AT 3 | 1ST MARCH 2014 | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Schedule | | | Amount As on 31.3.2014 | in INR As on 31.3.2013 |
| Sources of Funds Grant From TERI Opening Balance | | 82,742,701 | | |
| Received during the year | - | | 82,742,701 | 82,742,701 |
| Corpus Fund - Wildlife Conservations Trust | | | 2,500,000 50,209,672 | 29,497,051 |
| Total | | | 135,452,373 | 112,239,752 |
| Application of Funds Fixed Assets | | | | |
| Balance as on 31.03.2014 Less: Depreciation | 1 | 53,806,744 2,183,843 | 51,622,901 | 51,919,599 |
| Investments Fixed Deposits & Bonds | | | 107,099,000 | 102,099,000 |
| Current Assets, Loans & Advances Less: | 2 | 107,313,829 | | |
| Current Liabilities and Provisions Liabilities | 3 | 130,583,357 | | |
| Net Current Assets | | - | 23,269,528 - | 41,778,847 |
| Total Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts | 8 | | 135,452,373 | 112,239,752 |
| Schedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of eve Sanjay Rastogi For and on behalf of Sanjay Rastogi & Associates Chartered Accountants, New Delhi Place : New Delhi Date : 30th September, 2014. | | | | eue Susse - teens Srivastaya ice Chancellor |

| Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 7 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 Less : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Surplus/Deficit brought forward 8 Surplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Schedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts 8 | (Amoun As on 31.3.2014 | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Income 4 (a) Academic Receipts 4 (b) Others Receipts 4 (c) Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training 4 (c) Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training 4 (c) Programme/Seminars & Workshop 5 Total Income 5 Expenditure 6 Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure 6 Schenditure 7 Depreciation 7 Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Salance available for Appropriation 8 ess: Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Burplus/Deficit Drought forward 8 Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 idgnificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date Multiplicant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts | As on 31.3.2014 | |
| Academic Receipts 4 (a) Interest Receipts 4 (b) Others Receipts 4 (c) Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training 4 (c) Programme/Seminars & Workshop 4 (c) Total Income 5 Expenditure 6 Salary 6 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 5 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 5 Balance available for Appropriation 8 esses : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Surplus/Deficit brought forward 8 Surplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Idgnificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date M.W.W.M.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W | | As on 31.3.2013 |
| Interest Receipts 4 (b) Others Receipts 4 (c) Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training 4 (c) Programme/Seminars & Workshop 5 Total Income 5 Expenditure 5 Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation | | |
| Interest Receipts 4 (b) Others Receipts 4 (c) Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training 4 (c) Programme/Seminars & Workshop 5 Total Income 5 Expenditure 5 Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 5 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 5 Balance available for Appropriation | | |
| Others Receipts 4 (c) Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Total Income 5 Expenditure 5 Salary 6 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation | 77,384,346 | 68,320,248 |
| Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop Total Income Expenditure Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Expenditure 6 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 uess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Burplus/Deficit Drought forward 8 Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Interface to the Accounts 8 Stehedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts 8 | 11,098,230 | 9,529,881 |
| Programme/Seminars & Workshop Total Income Expenditure Salary 5 Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop Depreciation Total Expediture Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Halance available for Appropriation ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Hurplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Ignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 10,357,783 | 9,595,026 |
| Programme/Seminars & Workshop Total Income Expenditure Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Expenditure 7 Expenditure 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 7 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 3 Balance available for Appropriation | | |
| Total Income Expenditure Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Balance available for Appropriation | | |
| Expenditure 5 Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation | 54,169,815 | 56,896,823 |
| Expenditure 5 Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 7 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 Less : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Burplus/Deficit brought forward 8 Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Indignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 Indignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 | | |
| Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 3 Balance available for Appropriation 8 Less : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Surplus/Deficit Drought forward 8 Surplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Indentificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 Indentificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 | 153,010,174 | 144,341,978 |
| Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 uess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Surplus/Deficit Drought forward 8 Surplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Idgnificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 Institute 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts 8 | | 144,041,370 |
| Salary 5 Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 8 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 .ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Surplus/Deficit Drought forward 8 Surplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Statedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts 8 | | |
| Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 7 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 uess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Surplus/Deficit brought forward 8 Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Idgnificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 Ischedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts 8 | | |
| Academic Course Expenses 6 Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 7 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 uess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account 8 Surplus/Deficit brought forward 8 Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure 8 Idgnificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts 8 Ischedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts 8 | | |
| Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous 7 Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop 7 Depreciation 7 Total Expediture 7 Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) 8 Balance available for Appropriation 8 | 48,754,751 | 41,027,577 |
| Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop Depreciation Total Expediture Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Balance available for Appropriation | 16,237,864 | 12,805,308 |
| Programme/Seminars & Workshop Depreciation Fotal Expediture Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Balance available for Appropriation ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Burplus/Deficit brought forward Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Ignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 46,091,770 | 34,508,807 |
| Programme/Seminars & Workshop Depreciation Fotal Expediture Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Balance available for Appropriation ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Burplus/Deficit brought forward Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Ignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| Total Expediture Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Balance available for Appropriation .ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Surplus/Deficit brought forward Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Bignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Bistendule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 19,029,325 | 38,695,791 |
| Fotal Expediture Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Balance available for Appropriation ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Burplus/Deficit brought forward Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Bignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Balance available for Appropriation .ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Burplus/Deficit brought forward Burplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Inignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Inchedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 2,183,843 | 1,728,753 |
| Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) Balance available for Appropriation .ess : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Surplus/Deficit brought forward Surplus/ Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Eignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Richedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| Balance available for Appropriation Less : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Surplus/Deficit brought forward Surplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Bignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Richedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 132,297,553 | 128,766,236 |
| Balance available for Appropriation Less : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Surplus/Deficit brought forward Surplus/ Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Schedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 20,712,621 | 45 575 742 |
| Less : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account Surplus/Deficit brought forward Surplus/Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Sichedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 20,712,021 | 15,575,742 |
| Surplus/Deficit brought forward Surplus/ Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Sichedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 20,712,621 | 15,575,742 |
| Surplus/Deficit brought forward Surplus/ Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Sichedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| Surplus/ Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Schedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 20,712,621 | 15,575,742 |
| Surplus/ Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Schedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Sichedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 29,497,051 | 13,921,309 |
| ignificant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts acchedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 50 000 070 | |
| chedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | 50,209,672 | 29,497,051 |
| his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| chedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| his is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | | |
| This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date | ~ | |
| C ANDISAN NY | // | ~ |
| C materia As | 1/ / | $1 \cap$ |
| | 1/- / | / () |
| I'm a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | Im Y | |
| anjay Rastogi | 14 | ene trivas |
| | Dr. Rajiv Seth Dr. | Leena Srivastava |
| Finance Officer R | Registrar Vice | e Chancellor |
| anjay Rastogi & Associates | | |
| hartered Accountants, New Delhi Accounter | | |
| lace : New Delhi | | |
| ate: 30th September 2014. | | |

| Read Account of the second | Total | Capital Work in Progress - Mysore Campus | Land - Delhi Campus | Furniture (Project) | Furniture | Scientific Equipment (project) | Scientific Equipment | Office Equipment (Project) | Office Equipment | Computer (project) | Computer | Fatticulars | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 60 | 60 | % | |
| | 62,708,890 | 15,737 | 43,634,798 | 47,636 | 2,307,269 | 7,872,083 | 683,001 | 2,811,786 | 4,470,293 | 57,788 | 808,499 | As on 01.04.2013 | Sch |
| | 770,219 | r | 1 | ĩ | ı | 299,785 | 2,520 | 1 | 67,360 | | 400,554 | less than 180 days | Schedule 1 - Fixed Assets (Gross) |
| | 1,909,833 | | 1 | | 393,946 | 493,122 | | | 185,420 | | 837,345 | an more than ys 180 days | Assets (Gross) |
| | | 1 | | , | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1Ĕ | Discarded | |
| | 65,388,942 | 15,737 | 43,634,798 | 47,636 | 2,701,215 | 8,664,990 | 685,521 | 2,811,786 | 4,723,073 | 57,788 | 2,046,398 | as on 31.03.14 | |
| | 3,922,312 | 1 | 1 | 4,764 | 270,122 | 1,277,265 | 102,639 | 421,768 | 703,409 | 34,673 | 1,107,673 | for the year | |
| | 61,466,63 | 15,73 | 43,634,79 | 42,87 | 2,431,09 | 7,387,72 | 582,88 | 2,390,01 | 4,019,66 | 23,11 | 938,72 | As on 31.03.14 | W D V |

| Account to seal of the seal of | Total | Furniture (Project) | Scientific Equipment (project) | Office Equipment (Project) | Computer (project) | | Particulars | Total | Capital Work in Progress - Mysore Campus | Land - Delhi Campus | Furniture | Scientific Equipment | Office Equipment | Computer | | Particulars |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|
| | | 10 | 15 | 15 | 60 | % | Rate | | | | 10 | 15 | 15 | 60 | % | Ø |
| | 10,789,293 | 47,636 | 7,872,083 | 2,811,786 | 57,788 | As on 01.04.2013 | Schedule 1 W.D.V. | 51,919,599 | 15,737 | 43,634,798 | 2,307,269 | 683,001 | 4,470,295 | 808,499 | As on 01.04.2013 | W.D.V. Additions |
| | 299,785 | | 299,785 | | | less than 180 days | Schedule 1 - Fixed Assets (Funded from Projects) W.D.V. Additions | 470,434 | I | 1 | | 2,520 | 67,360 | 400,554 | 180 days | Add |
| | 493,122 | | 493,122 | | | more than 180 days | sets (Funded from P Additions | 1,416,711 | 1 | | 393,946 | | 185,420 | 837,345 | 180 days | Additions |
| | • | | | | | Discarded | rojects) | 1 | 1 | | | | | | Discarded | 2 |
| | 11,582,200 | 47,636 | 8,664,990 | 2,811,786 | 57,788 | as on 31.03.14 | Balance | 53,806,744 | 15,737 | 43,634,798 | 2,701,215 | 685,521 | 4,723,075 | 2,046,398 | as on 31.03.14 | Balance |
| | 1,738,470 | 4,764 | 1,277,265 | 421,768 | 34,673 | for the year | Depreciation | 2,183,843 | 1 | | 270,122 | 102,639 | 703,409 | 1,107,673 | for the year | Depreciation |
| | 9,843,73 | 42,87 | 7,387,72 | 2,390,01 | 23,11 | As on 31.03.14 | W.D.V. | 51,622,90 | 15,73 | 43,634,79 | 2,431,09 | 582,88 | 4,019,66 | 938,72 | As on 31.03.14 | W.D.V. |

| TERI UNIVERSITY | As on 31.3.2014 | As on 31.3.2013 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 31.3.2014 | 51.5.2015 | | | | | |
| Schedule 2: Current Assets | | | | | | | |
| Loan & Advances | 5,502,813 | 8,064,691 | | | | | |
| Interest Accrued but not due | 393,333 | 393,333 | | | | | |
| Interest Accrued and due but not received | 5,276 | 126,486 | | | | | |
| Project Work in Progress | 72,304,143 | 41,717,895 | | | | | |
| Prepaid Expenses | 1,262,468 | 710,034 | | | | | |
| Imprest Accounts | 1,245,713 | 368,557 | | | | | |
| TERI - Students Fellowship | 4,453,097 | 1,811,640 | | | | | |
| AICTE - Gate Scholarship Receivable | - | 10,836 | | | | | |
| Amount Recoverable from Student - Course Fee | 3,391,565 | 1,356,050 | | | | | |
| Earnest Money Deposit With Govt. Department | 110,000 | 10,000 | | | | | |
| Amount Recoverable from Mr. Suneel Kumar | 259,245 | 249,600 | | | | | |
| Amount Recoverable from Mr. T.D. Gupta | 2,100 | - | | | | | |
| Security Deposit with Landlords | 531,000 | 341,000 | | | | | |
| Service Tax Recoverable | 481,822 | 189,627 | | | | | |
| TDS Recoverable | 3,443,889 | 3,283,402 | | | | | |
| Stale & Cancelled Cheques | - | 72,709 | | | | | |
| Vehicle Loan - Dr. Prateek Sharma | 350,000 | - | | | | | |
| Water & Electricity Charges Receivable | 1,147,771 | 358,051 | | | | | |
| Cash & Bank Balance | | | | | | | |
| State Bank of Hyderabad SB A/c no. 52142908571 | 973,558 | 1,237,773 | | | | | |
| State Bank of Hyderabad | | | | | | | |
| SB A/c no. 52142908560 | 345,805 | 5,212,348 | | | | | |
| HDFC Bank A/c - 02731110000021 | 838,294 | 1,120,718 | | | | | |
| HDFC Bank FCRA A/c - 00031170000088 | 3,885,303 | - | | | | | |
| ICICI Bank - 000701263993 | 818,299 | 410,888 | | | | | |
| Canara Bank - 3159101000096 | 4,888,515 | 264,001 | | | | | |
| Cheque in Hand | - | 418,834 | | | | | |
| Cash in Hand | 679,820 | 340,095 | | | | | |
| Total | 107,313,829 | 68,068,568 | | | | | |



| TERI UNIVERSITY | As on 31.3.2014 | As on 31.3.2013 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | |
| Schedule 3: Current Lia | bilities | |
| Sundry Creditors | 2,626,111 | 2 266 10 |
| Amount Payable to Staff | 2,020,111 | 2,366,10 |
| Amount Payable to Students | | 1,108 |
| Project Contribution Received in Advance | 115,425,076 | 99,279,164 |
| Security Deposit Received from Suppliers | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Amount payable to TERI (Vehicle Loan & Home Loan & | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Interest - Deputation Staff) | 169,367 | 197,763 |
| Audit Fees Payable | 56,000 | 50,820 |
| Stale & Cancelled Cheques | 132,025 | |
| Unutilised Contingent Grants - CSIR | 119,061 | 101,286 |
| Unutilised Contigent Grant - DBT | 174,717 | 64,717 |
| Unutilised Contigent Grant - UGC | 16,000 | 5,000 |
| Grant Received from DST (Inspire) for Students | - | 250,400 |
| Grant received from DBT for Students | 249,600 | 249,600 |
| Grant received from UGC for Students | 207,000 | 4,000 |
| Outstanding Expenses | 3,190,864 | 1,053,339 |
| Provision - Faculty/Student Development Programme | 462,897 | 481,700 |
| Student Activities Fund | 1,171,720 | 890,000 |
| LTC Payable | 9,323 | - |
| Field Allowance - Sekhar Nath Mishra | - | 43,780 |
| Received from Universty of Minnesota | - | 113,612 |
| Received from Daikin University for PhD Student | 90,000 | - |
| Received from University of Guelph for PhD Student | 133,900 | - |
| Received from University of Utrecht for PhD Student | 299,372 | |
| Duties & Taxes Payable | 860,521 | 231,466 |
| Security Deposits Refundable | 3,963,803 | 3,823,803 |
| Security Deposit from Employee - House Lease | 146,000 | 115,500 |
| Security Deposit Hostel Students | 365,000 | 345,000 |
| Course Fee Received in Advance | 600,000 | 81,255 |
| Hostel Fee Received in Advance | - | 4,495 |
| /egetation Fund | 90,000 | 45,000 |
| | | 109,847,415 |
| Total | 130,583,357 | |
| Total Schedule 4: Income Rec | 130,583,357 ceipts | 103,047,413 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec | | 100,047,410 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts | ceipts | |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees | 75,548,411 | 66,639,764 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form | ceipts | 66,639,764 1,599,046 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form | 75,548,411 1,835,935 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution | 75,548,411 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Receipts | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest - Others | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest - Others | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest - Others Interest on Saving Bank | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest - Others Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 - 332,895 122,400 741,100 9,529,881 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest - Others Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts Iponosorship | 75,548,411 1,835,935 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 255,000 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts ponosorship dmission Cancellation Fees | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 255,000 823,090 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts ponosorship dmission Cancellation Fees mount Written back | 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255,000 255 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts Iponosorship dmission Cancellation Fees Imount Written back Iiscellaneous income | 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 - 332,895 122,400 741,100 9,529,881 395,450 718,055 7,920 427,940 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts Ipponosorship dmission Cancellation Fees mount Written back Iscellaneous income Receipts from Hostel Fees | 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 255,000 823,090 437,251 371,439 5,659,367 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts Imponsorship dmission Cancellation Fees Imponsed Bank Description Hostel Fees Receives against Notice Pay | 255,548,411 1,835,935 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 255,000 823,090 437,251 371,439 5,659,367 70,622 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Receipts Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan | 255,548,411 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 255,000 823,090 437,251 371,439 5,659,367 70,622 2,475,391 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution B. Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts ponosorship dmission Cancellation Fees mount Written back liscellaneous income eccipts from Hostel Fees ecoveries against Notice Pay eceipts from Seminar & Workshop | 255,548,411 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 255,000 823,090 437,251 371,439 5,659,367 70,622 2,475,391 265,623 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 - 332,895 122,400 741,100 9,529,881 395,450 718,055 7,920 427,940 5,021,697 - 2,959,101 64,863 |
| Schedule 4: Income Rec A. Academic Receipts Course fees Sale of Application Form Contigency Grant - Teri University Contribution 3. Interest Received on Deposits Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources Interest on Home Loan Interest on Home Loan Interest on Saving Bank C. Other Receipts Iponosorship dmission Cancellation Fees Inscellaneous income eccipts from Hostel Fees eccoveries against Notice Pay eccipts from Seminar & Workshop | 255,548,411 75,548,411 1,835,935 - 77,384,346 7,515,753 2,400,000 54,068 445,444 122,400 560,565 11,098,230 255,000 823,090 437,251 371,439 5,659,367 70,622 2,475,391 | 66,639,764 1,599,046 81,438 68,320,248 5,933,486 2,400,000 |

| TERI UNIVERSITY | As on 31.3.2014 | As on 31.3.2013 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | |
| Schedule 5: Salary Compo | nents | |
| Pay & Allowances | 42,075,647 | 35,897,143 |
| Performance Linked Gratuity (Faculty) | 585,000 | - |
| Employer's Provident Fund Contribution | 3,859,465 | 3,227,666 |
| Telephone Reimbursement | 42,250 | 22,648 |
| Medical Reimbursements | 428,163 | 468,865 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 313,993 | - |
| Leave Encashment | 237,932 | 168,694 |
| Leave Travel Allowance | 1,212,301 | 1,242,561 |
| Total | 48,754,751 | 41,027,577 |
| Schedule 6: Academic Course I | | |
| Advertisement | 1,452,667 | 1,004,875 |
| Bank Charges | 1,234 | 3,270 |
| Books & Periodicals - (Library) | 989,517 | 614,782 |
| Computer Software Maintenance Charges | 602,746 | 1,650 |
| Laboratory, Chemical, Glassware & Consumable Expenses | 272,408 | 147,464 |
| Contingency Expenses | 212,100 | - |
| Conveyance & Hiring Expenses | 841,948 | 615,266 |
| Electrical & Repairs Maimtenance Expenses | 3,013 | |
| Meeting & Refreshment Expenses | 108,033 | 3,131 |
| Fellowship, Honorarium, Stipend & Internship Payment | 897,733 | 669,980 |
| Field Expenses | 638,554 | 294,863 |
| Misc. Expenses | 57,734 | 8,125 |
| Photo & Picture Charges | 4,634 | 3,500 |
| Postage Expenses | 4,896 | 7,589 |
| Printing & Stationery | 204,023 | 209,911 |
| Professional Time Other Consultants | 9,627,927 | 8,630,431 |
| Recruitment Expenses | 11,180 | 60,969 |
| Repair & Maintenance Expenses | 26,919 | 34,754 |
| Seminar & Workshop | 16,497 | 208,574 |
| Travelling Expenses | 279,089 | 162,410 |
| Travelling Expenses (Foreign) | 197,112 | 123,764 |
| Total | 16,237,864 | 12,805,308 |



| TERI UNIVERSITY | As on 31.3.2014 | As on 31.3.2013 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | |
| Schedule 7: Office Contingencies and | I Miscellaneous | |
| NAAC Expenses | 143.097 | 235,935 |
| Annual Maintenance Contract | 3,743,193 | 2,833,100 |
| Alumni Meeting Expenses | 51,785 | 53,650 |
| Audit Fees | 56,000 | 50,820 |
| Annual Sports Day Expenses | 14,889 | 21,202 |
| Amount Written Off | - | 1,170,512 |
| Bank & Finance Charges | 262.865 | 81,043 |
| Celebration & Festival Exepnses | 215,639 | 144,576 |
| Computer Software and Repairs & Maintenance | 446,769 | 108,990 |
| Consumable | 168,901 | 135,463 |
| Conveyance Expenses | 70,001 | 43,908 |
| Convocation Expenses | 1,100,121 | 1,430,292 |
| Entertainment/Event/ Hostel Operating Expenses | 4,992,974 | 5,039,385 |
| Electrcial & Hardware Items | 331,749 | 212,732 |
| Guest House Operating Exp. | 190,212 | 122,170 |
| Hiring charge - Vehicle | 725,803 | 573,861 |
| Hostel Hiring Charges | 1,009,330 | 323,000 |
| Student Fellowship, Contingency & Honorarium Expenses | 480,259 | 134,138 |
| Insurance Premium | 220,680 | 96,884 |
| Email/Internet/LAN Network Expenses | 1,138,339 | 523,154 |
| Postage Expenses | 222,383 | 62,068 |
| Printing & Stationery | 945,478 | 570,702 |
| Misc. Expenses | 203,358 | 133,461 |
| Provident Fund - Administration charges | 357,669 | 309,543 |
| Provident Fund - EDLI Charges | 12,807 | 31,618 |
| Provident Fund - Inspection Charge | 255 | 631 |
| Housekeeping Charges, Office Maintenance Charges & | 200 | 001 |
| Other Professional Charges | 7,822,839 | 5,276,866 |
| Repair & Office Maintenance - Building | 918,581 | 394,326 |
| Registration Fee/ Renewal Fees /Subscription Fee | 1,474,699 | 372,739 |
| Repair & Maintance - Others | 156,209 | 126,917 |
| Repair & Maintenance - Furniture | 432,961 | 878,162 |
| Repair & Maintenance Office Equipment | 1,139,970 | 681,364 |
| Repair & Maintenance Vehicle | 9,004 | 22,615 |
| Research - Facultys/Students Development Programme | 3,004 | 500,000 |
| Salary - Staff on Deputation | 2,500,000 | 500,000 |
| Security Service Charges | 3,449,036 | 2,533,748 |
| Service Tax Paid | 2,086,710 | 2,020,082 |
| Transit Residence | 581,140 | 319,770 |
| Telephone Expenses | 232,788 | 157,959 |
| Travelling Expenses | 527,228 | 253,799 |
| Vice Chancellor - Car Running Expenses | 21,343 | 200,199 |
| Vater/Electrcitiy/Diesel A/c | 7,634,706 | 6,527,616 |
| Total | 46,091,770 | 34,508,807 |



SCHEDULE 8 : SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS

Significant Accounting Policies:

Accounting Convention:

The accounts have been prepared on accrual basis.

Revenue recognition

- a) Course Fee & Hostel Fee are recognized as income on accrual basis.
- b) Sponsorship fees is recognized as income on receipts basis.
- c) Contribution & Expenditure related to Research Activities, Training Programme, Seminar & Workshop are recognized as income / expenditure in Income and Expenditure Account at the time of completion of the activities.
- d) Income from investment is recognized on accrual basis.

Fixed Assets:

Fixed assets are stated at cost of acquisition / construction less accumulated depreciation.

The cost of acquisition is inclusive of borrowing cost, freight, taxes and other incidental expenses incurred up to the date of installation and commissioning of the assets.

No write-off is made in respect of leasehold land.

Depreciation

Depreciation of fixed assets has been provided on the written down value method at the following rates :

| Office and Scientific Equipment, | |
|----------------------------------------------------|------|
| Electric installations, Air – Conditioning plants, | 15% |
| Generators | 10% |
| Furniture & Fixtures | 1010 |
| Car | 15% |
| | 60% |
| Computers | |

Investment



Long term investment is stated at cost. Current investment is stated at cost or net realizable value whichever is lower.

NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS

The Trust is registered under section 12A (a) of the Income Tax Act 1961 and therefore, eligible for benefit of section 11 of the Income Tax Act. The donations made to the trust are exempt under section 80(G)(5)(V) of the Income Tax Act 1961.

Previous year figures have been regroups - rearranged wherever considered necessary.

Signature to Schedule 1 to 8 of the Balance Sheet.

Dhanraj Singh **Finance** Officer

Dr. Rajiv Seth Registrar

icost Dr. Leena Srivastava Vice Chancellor,

As per Reports of even date attached:

For Sanjay Rastogi & Associates Chartered Accountants

(Sanjay Rastogi M.No. 75033

Place : New Delhi Date : 30th September 2014